1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Alexandria Division		
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)		
4	Plaintiff, {	Crim No. 1.14cm200	
5	vs.	Crim. No. 1:14cr306	
6 7 8	JOSE LOPEZ TORRES, ALVIN GAITAN BENITEZ, CHRISTIAN LEMUS CERNA, OMAR DEJESUS CASTILLO, MANUEL ERNESTO PAIZ GUEVARA, and JESUS ALEJANDRO CHAVEZ,	May 4, 2016	
9	Defendants.		
10			
11			
12	JURY TRIAL		
13 14 15	BEFORE: THE HONORABLE GERALD BUNITED STATES DISTRICT	RUCE LEE JUDGE	
16 17 18 19	APPEARANCES: FOR GOVERNMENT: UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE BY: JULIA MARTINEZ, AUSA TOBIAS TOBLER, AUSA		
20			
21			
22	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER:		
23	RENECIA A. SMITH-WILSON, RMR, CRR		
24 25	RENECIA A. SMITH-WI U.S. District Court 401 Courthouse Squa Alexandria, VA 2231 (703)501-1580	re, 5th Floor 4	

1	<u>APPE</u>	EARANCES (Continued)
2	FOR	DEFENDANT JOSE LOPEZ TORRES
3		BYNUM & JENKINS, PLLC BY: ROBERT L. JENKINS, JR., ESQ. THE LEIVA LAW FIRM, PLC BY: MANUEL E. LEIVA, ESQ.
5	FOR	DEFENDANT ALVIN GAITAN BENITEZ
6 7 8		LAW OFFICE OF AMY LEIGH AUSTIN BY: AMY LEIGH AUSTIN, ESQ. SMITH & ZIMMERMAN, PLLC BY: JEFFREY D. ZIMMERMAN, ESQ.
9	FOR	DEFENDANT CHRISTIAN LEMUS CERNA
LO L1		LAW OFFICE OF CHRISTOPHER AMOLSCH BY: CHRISTOPHER AMOLSCH, ESQ. FRANK SALVATO, ESQ.
L2	FOR	DEFENDANT OMAR DEJESUS CASTILLO
L3 L4 L5		FIRSTPOINT LAW GROUP, PC BY: KATHERINE MARTELL, ESQ. OLD TOWN ADVOCATES, PC BY: MEREDITH M. RALLS, ESQ.
L 6	FOR	DEFENDANT MANUEL ERNESTO PAIZ GUEVARA
L7		LAW OFFICE OF W. MICHAEL CHICK, JR. BY: WILLIAM MICHAEL CHICK, JR., ESQ.
L8	FOR	DEFENDANT JESUS ALEJANDRO CHAVEZ
L9		JEROME P. AQUINO, ESQ. ELITA C. AMATO, ESQ.
20		LLTIA C. AIATO, LSQ.
22		
23		
24		
) 5		

1	INDEX			
2				
3	PRELIMINARY MATTERS	4		
4	CLOSING ARGUMENT BY DEFENDANT GAITAN BENITEZ	6		
5	CLOSING ARGUMENT BY DEFENDANT LEMUS CERNA	36		
6	CLOSING ARGUMENT BY DEFENDANT DEJESUS CASTILLO	69		
7	CLOSING ARGUMENT BY DEFENDANT CHAVEZ	92		
8	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT BY THE GOVERNMENT	121		
9	FURTHER JURY INSTRUCTIONS BY THE COURT	166		
10	(Court recogned)			
11	(Court recessed)			
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
2.5				

PROCEEDINGS 1 2 (Thereupon, the following was heard in open 3 court at 10:04 a.m.) 4 (Jury not present.) 5 THE CLERK: 1:14 criminal 306, United States 6 versus Jose Lopez Torres, Omar Dejesus Castillo, Alvin 7 Gaitan Benitez, Christian Lemus Cerna, Manuel Ernesto 8 Paiz Guevara, and Jesus Alejandro Chavez, with Spanish interpreters previously sworn. 10 THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. 11 ALL: Good morning. 12 THE COURT: Ready to bring the jury out? 13 PRELIMINARY MATTERS 14 MS. AUSTIN: Your Honor, if I may have 15 20 seconds. 16 THE COURT: Sure. Absolutely. 17 MS. AUSTIN: Thank you. 18 For the record, Your Honor, I would just 19 like to renew Mr. Gaitan Benitez's motion for severance. 20 We've put it on the record several times throughout the 21 trial. And given the contents of the closing argument 22 of counsel for Mr. Guevara yesterday, wherein he named 23 our client at least three times as the perpetrator of 24 one of the crimes in the indictment, we would just like 25

```
to, for the record --
```

- THE COURT: Is that the first time someone
- a has named your client as being involved in the offenses,
- 4 Ms. Austin?
- 5 MS. AUSTIN: No, it is not.
- THE COURT: Oh, okay.
- MS. AUSTIN: But it was as though we were
- 8 facing two prosecutors yesterday during closing
- 9 arguments. And just for the record, Your Honor, I
- wanted to renew it. That's all.
- THE COURT: All right.
- The motion is renewed, and for the reasons
- previously stated and the opposition of the government,
- the motion is denied.
- Ready to bring the jury out?
- All right. You can bring our jurors out,
- 17 Mr. Toliver. Thank you.
- (Jury present at 10:06 a.m.)
- THE COURT: You may be seated.
- Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.
- THE JURORS: Good morning, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Omar Dejesus
- 23 Castillo; good morning.
- Good morning, Mr. Alvin Gaitan Benitez; good
- morning.

```
Good morning, Mr. Manuel Ernesto Paiz
1
    Guevara; good morning.
2
                Good morning, Mr. Jesus Alejandro Chavez;
3
    good morning.
4
                Good morning, Mr. Christian Lemus Cerna;
5
    good morning.
6
                Good morning, Mr. Jose Lopez Torres.
7
                Counsel, are you ready to proceed?
8
                You may proceed.
9
                                 Thank you, Your Honor.
                MR. ZIMMERMAN:
10
           CLOSING ARGUMENT BY DEFENDANT GAITAN BENITEZ
11
                                 Good morning, ladies and
                MR. ZIMMERMAN:
12
                I'm Jeff Zimmerman and I, along with Amy
    gentlemen.
13
    Austin, represent Alvin Gaitan Benitez. Mr. Benitez is
14
    sitting with Ms. Austin and our paralegal, Pam Bishop,
15
    the defense paralegal, in the second row in the purple
16
    shirt.
17
                That's the last time you're going to hear me
18
    say that, and, in fact, today is likely the last time
19
    you're going to hear from any of the lawyers. Today you
20
    are likely to begin the hard work of deliberations.
21
                We, generally -- genuinely appreciate your
22
    service in this case. No one jumps for joy when getting
23
    a jury summons, and this wasn't just any jury summons.
24
    This case has been an unusually significant hardship in
25
```

- terms of length and subject matter, and we understand
- 2 that.
- But I hope all of you have gained an inside
- 4 appreciation of what is so amazing and essential about
- 5 the American criminal justice system, and that is that
- 6 no matter how difficult the subject matter, in our
- y system of justice jurors are required to dispassionately
- 8 consider the evidence.
- We convict people base only on evidence, not
- based on emotion. We don't convict based on a picture
- of Alvin making the claw in front of his face. You know
- the picture I'm talking about. The government keeps
- showing it. And we don't convict based on selfies. And
- that's all that picture was.
- And we don't convict based on gruesome
- photos. These were gruesome murders. No one is
- 17 claiming that they weren't.
- And at the end of her closing argument,
- 19 Ms. Martinez put some gruesome photos up with an
- impassioned plea for justice.
- But justice requires more than shocking
- 22 photographs. Justice requires reliable evidence.
- Justice requires proof beyond a reasonable doubt. It is
- not justice to convict a roomful of defendants because
- you are shown some gruesome photos.

```
The government, during closing arguments --
1
    and you've heard this -- gets to go first and they get
2
    to go last. The government gets a rebuttal close after
3
    all of the defendants have their closing arguments, like
           They get to address you at the very end, and I
5
    and no one else will get a chance to respond to that.
6
                So, I ask you to please keep in mind
7
    everything that I say during the -- during the
8
    government's rebuttal and then when you deliberate.
    This will be my only chance to address you.
10
                Remember during that long jury selection
11
    process, Judge Lee emphasized that simply being a member
12
    of MS-13 is not a crime.
13
                You may also recall early on in the trial --
14
    this was way back on March 31st -- it was following the
15
    testimony of a law enforcement witness named Robert
16
            Judge Lee turned to you and he emphasized that
17
    the fact that these defendants are sitting here means
18
    nothing until the end of the case, in which you have all
19
    the evidence to consider.
20
                Judge Lee emphasized that there have been
21
    cases in which MS-13 defendants have been acquitted in
22
    this courtroom. And Judge Lee again emphasized this
23
    when he instructed you yesterday that it is not a crime
24
    to be a member or associated with MS-13.
25
```

- You will get a copy of the jury 1 instructions, and at the top they're numbered. And if 2 you're taking notes and want to refer, this is jury 3 instruction number 12. 4 Alvin Gaitan Benitez is charged in Count 5 5 and Count 6. Count 5 is the reburial of Nelson Omar 6 Quintanilla Trujillo, who is known as Lagrima. 7 Count 6 is the murder of Gerson Adoni Martinez Aguilar, 8 who is known as Lil Guasón. At the end of my closing argument, I'm going 10 to ask you to find Alvin Gaitan Benitez not guilty, 11 based on the lack of evidence beyond a reasonable doubt 12 against him in this case. 13 The evidence in this case is a contradictory 14 mess, but a number of things are crystal clear. 15 First, it's clear that the government's gang 16 witnesses are all liars who are trying to buy their way 17 out of trouble, out of jail and into lawful status in 18 the U.S., by implicating others. 19 The second thing that is crystal clear and 20 related is that all MS-13 members are liars. 21 bluster. They take credit for things they do not do as 22 a way to protect themselves. They need to lie to 23
- You've heard a number of various roles of

survive. You've heard that repeatedly.

24

- those associated with the gang, as well as various
- 2 nicknames for everyone. Alvin Gaitan Benitez was known
- as Pesadilla, or Tuner, as well as some other nicknames.
- 4 He was a blusterer.
- 5 And in MS-13, his role was to be the fall
- 6 guy. Alvin is the guy gang members left behind when
- 7 they fled to Kansas City. He stayed back, lived at
- 8 home, went to work. Alvin is the guy who the government
- 9 gets sent to dig a grave for Lagrima's reburial. They
- claim he was running the gang. But they also claim he
- was just sent as a lowly grave digger.
- Lots of homeboys, according to the evidence,
- participated in Lagrima's reburial, but only Alvin is
- charged with that conduct in Count 5. He is the fall
- guy for the gang and a fall guy for the government.
- Alvin wasn't running the gang, ladies and
- gentlemen, and he wasn't running off to Kansas City
- 18 after Lil Guasón was murdered. The only thing Alvin was
- running was his mouth. He blustered about things that
- 20 he did not do.
- Let's turn to the evidence, and I want to
- 22 highlight those witnesses relevant to the charges faced
- by Mr. Alvin Benitez.
- The government's very first witness in this
- case was Sergeant Claudio Saa, who is the government's

- gang expert. Sergeant Saa testified that members of
- 2 MS-13 advance in the gang through a reputation for
- з violence.
- 4 He testified that tattoos are a way that
- 5 violent acts are recognized, and that they are usually
- 6 earned for a homicide. The tattoos reflect status.
- Sergeant Saa testified that gang members
- 8 always act in their own self-interest. They lie to
- 9 everyone. They lie to each other. They lie to enhance
- their reputation and status in the gang.
- He testified that they lie to conceal the
- fact that they failed to complete missions. They lie by
- taking credit for things other homeboys did. They boast
- about violence they did not commit. False boasting is a
- 15 fundamental part of gang life.
- Lying is a fundamental part of gang life.
- In the gang, your reputation is everything, and so lying
- is everything. Lying keeps you alive. And once
- arrested, gang members keep lying. They take the stand
- 20 and they tell lie after lie.
- As if to emphasize this point, almost
- immediately, the very next government witness was Osmin
- 23 Alfaro Fuentes, who is known as Buso. Buso, if you
- recall, was the government witness that had the huge
- 25 "MS" tattooed on his forehead, and he was a liar and a

- 1 blusterer.
- Buso claimed to be so drunk that he didn't
- s know he was getting "MS" tattooed across the top of his
- 4 face. He didn't even realize it was happening. He just
- 5 woke up. There it was.
- That's a ridiculous story. Remember that
- 7 "MS" tattoo reflects violence and consequently status.
- 8 But at that time, he had not yet committed any killings.
- 9 What Buso was doing with the tattoo was false bravado, a
- claim plastered across his forehead that he had
- committed killings when, at the time he got the tattoo,
- 12 he had not.
- He knew what he was doing. His story about
- getting drunk is a lie. He wanted the status, so he got
- the tattoo. It was false bluster right on his face.
- Remember the testimony that over time, MS-13
- reduced the use of tattoos because they aided law
- 18 enforcement. Buso specifically admitted during
- cross-examination that blustering, bravado, has replaced
- tattoos as a way to gain status. And just as Buso's
- tattoo was false blustering, so was Alvin's blustering
- on those phone calls with Junior. And we'll get to
- that.
- But Buso told another lie. He testified
- about a shooting he was involved in, where he wore a

- visor to cover the huge "MS-13" on his forehead. He
- said this allowed him to approach the victim.
- 3 However, the witness that directly followed
- 4 Buso -- this was Officer Jay Choi -- contradicted this
- 5 account. Officer Choi, who investigated that crime
- 6 scene, testified that Buso was identified by the victim
- by the huge "MS-13" on his forehead. It wasn't covered
- 8 like a visor -- with a visor.
- Buso's tattoo was a lie, an example of false
- bravado plastered across his face. He obviously lied
- about how he got it, with that ridiculous story about
- 12 being drunk.
- And we know from Officer Choi that Buso lied
- about how he covered it up with a visor. It wasn't
- covered up. It was how the police found him.
- Everything Buso told you was a lie, and that
- was the government's first gang member witness.
- You learned something else critical to this
- case during Buso's testimony, something you would see
- over and over again, week after week. You learned that
- such testimony, that the testimony of all of the
- government's gang member witnesses, is bought and paid
- for by the government, not with money -- although Junior
- got money, too -- but with something far more valuable:
- freedom, a chance to get out of jail. For someone

- facing a life sentence -- and numerous government gang
- witnesses testified they faced a life sentence -- a
- 3 chance to get out before they died of old age is worth
- 4 everything. It's worth lying about. They lie about
- 5 everything. Homeboys lie to survive in MS-13. And, of
- 6 course, they will lie to get out of jail.
- And they get much more than that. They get
- 8 a shot at life here, with lawful status for themselves
- 9 and, in some cases, for their families.
- And I want to digress a little bit about the
- process of how a gang member who is a government witness
- 12 gets a sentence reduced.
- As you've heard, these witnesses spend a lot
- of time with the prosecutors and agents before they take
- the stand and testify, often, numerous meetings over a
- period of years.
- And there is one part of their testimony
- that is particularly well-practiced. A prosecutor will
- ask: What are you required to do to get a reduction?
- And the gang witness' answer always is:
- 21 Tell the truth.
- And the next question from the prosecutor
- is: Who decides your reduction?
- And the well-practiced answer is: The
- judge.

- But the reality is that to get a reduction,
- the prosecutors have to be of the opinion that the
- 3 witness cooperated with the government. They have to
- feel that the government member helped them -- helped
- 5 their case, supported their theory, their story. To get
- 6 a reduction, the prosecutors have to file a motion.
- Otherwise, it never gets back before the judge. And if
- 8 it never gets back before the judge, they will never get
- 9 out of jail.
- Let's pull up on the screen, please, Buso's
- plea agreement. This is Government's Exhibit 125, page
- 12 **one.**
- Is it up? I don't see it on the screen.
- 14 There it is. Thank you, Pam.
- You could see this is Buso's plea agreement.
- This is page one of the plea agreement.
- Let's go to paragraph 18. It should be the
- 18 next slide.
- It's called "motion for downward departure."
- You've learned that in this case, this is a reduction,
- this is a departure from the long sentence. And it
- starts off with the legal language that describes
- technically what the motion is, and it says in the very
- last sentence that: The government may seek a reduction
- if, in its sole discretion, the United States determines

- that such a departure or reduction of sentence is
- 2 appropriate.
- There is identical language in every plea
- 4 agreement entered in evidence here. And you can see
- 5 that for yourself. The plea agreements for everybody
- 6 are Government's Exhibit 120 to 125.
- 7 The plea agreement, this document, is
- 8 literally a contract between the gang members and the
- 9 prosecution. And this, right here, is the fine print.
- 10 And it is always critical to read the fine print. This
- is the real deal, and all the government witnesses know
- 12 **it.**
- They have to give the truth as the
- government sees it, which means they have to give the
- version of events that matches the charges in this case.
- As they answered on cross-examination, the
- gang member witnesses understand that the prosecutors,
- through their sole discretion to move for a sentence
- reduction, hold the key to their jail cells.
- Judge Lee gave a specific instruction on
- this issue.
- Can we please put up excerpts from the jury
- instruction regarding plea agreements? The entire
- instruction, which you have, is 79.
- One excerpt notes that the government has

- the sole discretion to bring the witness's cooperation
- to the attention of the sentencing court.
- 3 Another excerpt emphasizes that a witness
- 4 who realizes that he may be able to obtain his own
- 5 freedom or receive a lighter sentence by giving
- 6 testimony favorable to the prosecution has a motive to
- 7 testify falsely.
- 8 So Buso tells all of his ridiculous lies
- 9 about his forehead tattoo, and he gets a sentence
- 10 reduction.
- He testified on cross that he received life
- without parole in 2005, and in 2011, already a
- government witness, his sentence was reduced to 15 years
- pursuant to this type of motion by the government.
- 15 Maybe it will be reduced again as he keeps telling lies.
- 16 He sure knows how to play this game.
- And we know that the gang member witnesses
- are not reliable. All of them are liars. They lie when
- in the gang, and when caught they have a motive to keep
- 20 lying, this time to jurors.
- Buso tells these dumb lies and he gets
- rewarded, down to 15 years from life. Maybe he'll get
- more.
- And as the case got a little further into
- witnesses, you learned of another kind of reliability in

- this matter, and that of the phone call translations on 1 which the government relies so heavily in its case 2 against Alvin and others. 3 This is highly subjective, and that's 4 something that you learned. FBI contract language 5 monitor or CLM, as they were called, Liliana Portwine, 6 testified that when translating terms, it's necessary to 7 skew it one way or the other. 8 You may recall FBI contract language monitor Sandra D'Sa testified that when the translating is a 10 gray area, and that when working closely with the FBI, 11 she felt like she was part of the prosecution team 12 putting the case together. 13 FBI contract language monitor Vania Vargas 14 admitted that CLMs are qualified only to provide the FBI 15 with summary translations, not the transcripts, and 16 not -- they are not qualified to testify. 17 So we learned from all of these CLM 18 witnesses during the cross-examination of each one that 19 they are not certified, and that although their 20 unauthorized translations are subject to review, they 21 can ignore any suggestions made by review. So this is 22
- And in this case, they sometimes even reviewed each other. The bottom line is that the 25

really just their unauthorized product.

23

24

- accuracy of the transcripts in this case, the ones made
- of calls by Junior on which the government relies, are
- 3 highly suspect.
- And the government says they have a waiver
- in this case for this, but fundamentally, these
- 6 individuals were not qualified to do what they did here.
- Let's move to Junior himself. The
- 8 government called FBI Special Agent Brenda Born before
- 9 Junior. Special Agent Brenda Born testified that Junior
- had been working for the FBI as a CHS, a confidential
- human source, since November 2005, and that she had been
- his handler from October 2009 to September 2014.
- Agent Born testified that when the FBI
- started using Junior, he was just a homeboy, and that
- during his time as a CHS he worked his way through the
- ranks to become leader of an MS-13 clique known as
- 17 Silvas.
- She testified that Junior was not authorized
- to commit any crimes and that, except for once bringing
- a joint to a meeting with another gang member, he did
- not commit any crimes.
- Moreover, as far as she knew, he did not
- commit any acts of violence while a CHS.
- According to FBI Agent Born, therefore,
- Junior rose through the ranks of MS-13 based on bluster

- alone.
- You then heard from Junior himself, Jose
- 3 Aparicio Garcia. He told you he started with the FBI as
- a CHS in 2005. And he told you that he testified in a
- 5 gang case right here in this courthouse in 2006.
- 6 He told you he became a leader, the first
- 7 word, of Silvas in 2012.
- 8 He testified under oath that he did not
- 9 commit any acts of violence to become first word, and
- that he became first word after having testified in this
- courthouse against other gang members.
- Think about this. Based on what you've
- heard throughout the government's case all of these
- weeks, the prosecution has repeatedly emphasized that
- gang members get green-lighted for cooperating with the
- government. They get killed.
- Any and all cooperation with the government
- 18 gets a homeboy killed. Even the suspicion that a
- 19 homeboy is cooperating gets him killed. Homeboys are
- 20 not allowed to talk to the police at all. They are not
- allowed to help the government at all. They are not
- 22 allowed to testify at all. This violates all the top
- 23 rules of the gang.
- And yet Junior not only cooperates with the
- government, he testifies in open court, just like you

- saw him do, but also back in 2006, in another gang case.
- 2 He not only does not get killed after being a snitch, he
- gets promoted. He works his way from homeboy to first
- 4 word, after testifying in court for the government, and
- 5 he does it, according to the government, without
- 6 committing any of the acts of violence the government
- ⁷ says are required to advance in MS-13.
- 8 How is that possible?
- 9 When asked about this on cross-examination,
- Junior boasted that he was the smartest one in the gang.
- 11 He knows how to talk to homeboys, he says. He knows how
- to manipulate them. He is, by his own admission, a
- master manipulator. He has to be. He is living proof,
- literally living proof, that false bluster can keep you
- alive and get you status in MS-13. It can get you from
- snitch to first word on bluster alone.
- Excuse me.
- The government repeatedly attempted to
- dispel the notion that you could lie to advance your
- reputation by suggesting, through various witnesses,
- that MS-13 has some sort of verification process, that
- the defendants can't be blustering.
- Junior is living proof that MS-13 does not
- do its homework. There are no background checks. There
- is no verification process. There is Junior. He is

- 1 proof of that. Junior is proof that anyone can make it
- in MS-13 on bluster alone.
- 3 Here's an example of the bluster. Let's
- 4 pull up Government's Exhibit 18A-1. Here's the first
- 5 page. This is a conversation between Junior and
- 6 Christian Lemus Cerna on May 15th, 2014.
- And we're at the bottom here, Bates stamp
- 8 page 16. "JR" is Junior and "LC" is Lemus Cerna.
- And Junior says, "That dude that's giving me
- bullshit, he already pissed me off, dude. That dude was
- bullshitting stone, you know. So we dropped in on him.
- 12 And the motherfucker was crying, you know. The rings,
- the chains, 'Leave me, leave me those, because they
- belong to my wife.'"
- Lemus Cerna -- "OV" is over voice -- says,
- 16 "Oh, yes, yes, yes."
- Junior says, "All right. Cool, you know.
- 18 We, we helped him out, you know. They gave him the
- chains and everything, you know."
- Then the top of the next page, Lemus Cerna
- says, "Uh-huh."
- Junior says, "We took his money and
- everything. All right, cool. We told him. All right,
- 24 250, I told him, you know. 'Know for sure,' Stone told
- 25 him, 'I'm going to cut your finger off, you son of a

```
bitch.'
1
                 "'No, no, no, ' said the motherfucker."
2
                 "'We are going to cut your finger off."
3
                 "'No. no. no.'"
4
                 "And the son of a bitch started crying, you
5
    know.
6
                So, Junior says to Lemus Cerna, "Where can I
7
    leave my car around here, dude?"
8
                And Lemus Cerna says, "No, keep going
9
    further."
10
                Junior says, "Oh, all right, then. Oh, hey,
11
    you know, that motherfucker did, fool, that motherfucker
12
    pissed me off already, dude. I'm not kidding, you know,
13
    too much ripping off, homeboy. Too much ripping off."
14
    Did you hear what the dude said? That he already sold
15
    him the business."
16
                 In court, Junior testified that "dropped in
17
    on him," which was the first part of that quote --
18
                We're done with that.
19
                 -- means to attack or to rob him.
                                                     He also
20
    testified that he wasn't actually there. He heard a
21
    version of what happened, and he takes credit for it.
22
    He takes credit for it as if he was there, but he
23
    wasn't.
24
                And there's another thing to notice here as
25
```

- well. You could see how blustering is the social
- 2 currency of MS-13. When Junior talks about violence,
- 3 Lemus Cerna says, "Yes, yes. Keep going."
- And Junior says, "Well, and where do I park
- 5 my car?"
- And Lemus Cerna says, "No, I want to hear
- 7 more. Keep going."
- 8 This is the social currency. Junior knows
- 9 this. Junior knows this is what MS-13 members like to
- talk about, and they like to falsely bluster, just like
- 11 he is falsely blustering. He was not doing any of the
- things he said he was, and he's getting gang members to
- do the same thing.
- Junior succeeds in obtaining exactly the
- kind of false bluster that he, himself, employs. As
- you've heard, he gets Alvin Gaitan Benitez to falsely
- brag about decapitating Lil Guasón. But we know this is
- 18 all bluster. There is no evidence, aside from the
- blustering calls with Junior, that Alvin decapitated Lil
- 20 Guasón.
- You've heard in another call in which Junior
- gets Leopardo to boast that he decapitated Lil Guasón,
- 23 and you've heard that Solitario boasted to Skinny that
- he decapitated Lil Guasón. And Slow, who we will get to
- in a minute, testified that Lil Poison -- sorry -- Slow

- testified that Lil Payaso was the one who decapitated
- 2 Lil Guasón. The evidence is a conflicting mess.
- The government, in their closing, called
- 4 Junior a hero. He's not. He's a liar. He uses bluster
- to get bluster out of others, and that's literally
- 6 Junior's job. He makes money doing that. The FBI has
- 7 paid him tens of thousands of dollars to do that.
- 8 Blustering gets him a whole lot of benefits.
- And remember the lie he told about the
- immigration help -- he got -- help he got from the
- prosecutors? He testified on direct that the letter
- from the prosecutors did not get delivered to the
- immigration judge, and must have gotten returned, didn't
- 14 get the benefits.
- But on cross, it was revealed that he showed
- the letter from the United States Attorney's Office to
- the immigration judge. Obviously, because it got
- returned, he brought it to court. And so he sought to
- stay in the United States.
- So, even on that small thing he wants to lie
- about that, and on cross-examination he was caught in
- 22 that lie.
- More lies. Slow testified that Junior
- punched a young man in the face and left him bleeding,
- an unprovoked attack. This is around the summer of

- 1 2014.
- Junior, of course, did not report this to
- 3 his handler, Brenda Born. He lies to the FBI. He lies
- 4 to everyone. He is a manipulative opportunist.
- 5 Subsequent to Junior, you heard from Juan
- 6 Carlos Marquez Ayala, who was known as Skinny. Skinny
- y was a heavy drug user. He used marijuana 10 to 15 times
- a day, often used cocaine, admits to having used crystal
- 9 **meth**.
- He was also a drug dealer. He sold crystal
- meth, cocaine, heroin and marijuana. He smuggled drugs
- into prison for the homeboys.
- Skinny testified that almost everyone in the
- clique went to rebury Lagrima. He throws everyone into
- this one. Skinny says he was there, as was Greñas, Lil
- Poison, Pesadilla, Lil Evil, Lil Slow, Duende, Leopardo.
- 17 This count is a mess and they're making Alvin the fall
- guy for it.
- And it's not just the prosecution trying to
- 20 do this. Yesterday you heard Solitario's lawyer try to
- 21 blame Lil Guasón's murder on Alvin. But Solitario told
- 22 Skinny that he, Solitario, stabbed and decapitated Lil
- 23 Guasón. Solitario admitted to Skinny and also told
- 24 Skinny that he liked it, that he played soccer with Lil
- 25 Guasón's head.

We also know that soon after Lil Guasón's 1 murder, Solitario took off for Kansas City with others, 2 where he was ultimately arrested. 3 In her closing, Ms. Martinez said that this 4 flight by Solitario and others, quote, establishes 5 guilt. 6 But that works both ways. Not fleeing 7 reflects Alvin's innocence. Alvin stays at home. Alvin 8 knows what he says is all bluster. So why would he need to flee after a murder he did not commit? 10 Skinny also testified that he was not 11 truthful when Junior -- when they, Skinny and Junior, 12 spoke on the phone. Skinny lied on the phone to Junior. 13 Skinny testified that all homeboys lie, always. 14 testified that they lie to each other. They lie to 15 survive. They lie to Junior. Junior lies to them. 16 They lie to him. 17 Also recall it was Skinny who wanted Lil 18 Guasón dead for stealing money from the mother of his 19 child, her money, not the gang's money. This was not an 20 act in furtherance of racketeering activity. It wasn't 21 even the gang's money. 22 The next witness you heard from, or you 23 next -- another witness you heard from was Araely 24

Santiago Villanueva. He was known as Slow or Lil Slow

25

- or Spider. He added to the confusion. He was the one
- who said that it was Lil Payaso who cut off Lil Guasón's
- з head.
- From Slow, you learned that Douglas Duran
- 5 Cerritos, known as Lil Poison, was the leader of PVLS at
- 6 the time that Lil Guasón was killed; that Lil Poison
- 7 participated in the murder of Lil Guasón. After the
- 8 murder, he made the decision to go to Kansas City with
- other gang members to flee the police. Lil Poison,
- 10 Slow, Solitario and Leopardo fled to Kansas City. Alvin
- 11 did not go with them.
- 12 Slow testified that they went to Kansas City
- to flee the police. Alvin did not flee.
- 14 Slow testified that while others fled, Alvin
- stayed at his house and just went to work. And you can
- recall, this was actually brought out on redirect by the
- government, by Ms. Martinez. She pressed Slow, "Where
- was Alvin when the others fled?"
- And Slow said, "Alvin was at home. Alvin
- was going to work." Alvin, the fall guy, the guy who
- falsely brags about decapitating Lil Guasón, stays at
- 22 home while others flee.
- This was later corroborated by Detective
- Raymond Betts, who testified that he had to go to Kansas
- to arrest a number of MS-13 members, but he arrested

- Alvin at home.
- Also recall how Detective Betts found Alvin.
- 3 According to his testimony, he surveilled the
- 4 Fairfax Court when Alvin had a ticket. Alvin showed up
- 5 for his traffic ticket, and they followed him home.
- So to recap, while others fled, Alvin Gaitan
- 7 Benitez stayed home, worked and showed up in Fairfax for
- 8 a scheduled court appearance on a traffic citation.
- 9 These are not the actions of someone who has just
- 10 committed a murder.
- One more thing about Slow. During the
- prosecution's closing argument, and in an attempt to
- bolster Slow's testimony, Ms. Martinez suggested that
- 14 Slow was, well, too slow to be able to consistently lie
- about the same people.
- Ladies and gentlemen, we know from weeks and
- weeks of testimony that the government witnesses spend
- many, many hours over an extensive period of time being
- coached by the government before coming here to testify.
- They just have to learn who is charged and what count
- and repeat it in court. That's easy. No one is that
- 22 **slow**.
- Right after Slow, you heard from Jose Del
- 24 Cid, known as Duende. Duende, as you recall is a
- lifelong brutal killer. He participated in every

- murder, as well as the attempted murder charged in this
- case, and numerous other violent crimes here and in
- 3 El Salvador. And he is also a liar who is trying to
- 4 save himself from dying in prison.
- 5 **Duende** testified that he directly
- 6 participated in three murders in El Salvador over a
- 7 period of at least six years before coming to the United
- 8 States. Once in the United States, over the next two
- 9 years, from approximately 2012 to 2014, he and Lagrima
- stabbed a man in Alexandria. Duende assaulted a
- one-armed man with a hammer. He assaulted another man
- with a bat. He stabbed a 12-year-old child in the chest
- in Fairfax. He pulled a gun on a kid in Alexandria.
- He was involved in the plan to kill
- Peligroso. He participated in the murder of Lagrima.
- 16 He participated in the murder of Lil Guasón. And he
- participated in the murder of Julio Urrutia.
- 18 Considering this horrifying violent spree in
- just the two-year period he was in the United States,
- which ended only upon Duende's arrest, it is impossible
- to credit Duende's claims that he was involved in merely
- three murders in El Salvador in the six years prior. It
- just doesn't ring true. He's a liar. He admits what is
- 24 already known here, while understating his own level of
- violence elsewhere.

- And I want you to notice something else
- about Duende's testimony. He deflects blame onto
- 3 others. He refuses to take direct personal
- 4 responsibility for his actions. It's always someone
- 5 else who holds the knife.
- 6 With respect to that stabbing in Chirilagua
- in 2013, Duende said it was Lagrima who held the knife.
- 8 It was Lagrima who did the stabbing. It is always
- someone else, Duende testified, or it was someone else
- 10 who told him to do it. That's what he does. He
- deflects blame and he is trying to shift blame to Alvin
- 12 for his own crimes.
- 13 If you recall, he was arrested a number of
- times. One of those times he gave a fake name for
- himself, Gabriel Cabrera is what he told the police, and
- he got released. They weren't looking for Gabriel
- 17 Cabrera. He lies to get out of jail. He lies to
- survive.
- Duende is a six-time murderer, at least.
- 20 Moreover, he stabs children in the chest. He sticks
- guns in their faces. He has no conscience.
- But the government presents him as a witness
- and says, the only reason he is here is to tell the
- 24 truth.
- The only reason he is here, ladies and

- gentlemen, is to save himself, and he will do anything
- to save himself. Duende has a lot of violence to work
- off by cooperating. His plea agreement in this case --
- 4 it's Government's Exhibit 123 -- provides immunity from
- 5 any further prosecution of the crimes charged here.
- But it goes much further than that. The
- 7 United States Attorney's Office obtained immunity for
- 8 Duende in all the Northern Virginia jurisdictions where
- 9 all of these crimes and all these other violent acts
- occurred.
- And, of course, Duende hopes to get
- immigration benefits once he reduces his sentence and is
- ultimately released after testifying here.
- Duende is ruthless. He will say and do
- anything he needs to. He killed all of those people.
- He, Lil Poison, Slow and others killed Lil Guasón.
- Duende did this killing.
- And he and the other government witnesses
- now need to throw some blame on Alvin. They need to
- 20 make him the fall guy so they can work off their time.
- Duende's testimony and the testimony of other witnesses
- 22 cannot be trusted.
- In her opening and again in her closing,
- Ms. Martinez says Duende is, quote, no choirboy, as if
- this claim of what Duende is not somehow makes him

- believable. No, Duende is no choirboy. He is a
- 2 ruthless killer and a habitual liar, who throws blame
- everywhere else. And when that stops working, he signs
- a plea deal to work off his time by falsely blaming
- others. And he still deflects blame, even here.
- 6 That's not proof beyond a reasonable doubt.
- 7 It's not proof at all. Duende killed Lil Guasón. But
- 8 now, in order to save himself, he needs to cast blame on
- 9 others. He lies to survive.
- The final witness called by the government
- was Detective Raymond Betts. As discussed earlier,
- Detective Betts testified that he had to go to Kansas
- 13 City to arrest Lil Poison and others. But he arrested
- 14 Alvin Gaitan Benitez at his home. When others fled,
- 15 Alvin stayed home. When others fled, Alvin went to
- 16 court to face a traffic ticket.
- 17 Alvin knew he was blustering. He did not
- need to leave town. Blustering is not a crime.
- The final witness of the entire trial was
- Jose Lopez Torres, also known as Greñas. He took the
- stand without a deal with the government. Every other
- member of MS-13 who took the stand did so in return for
- a promise of freedom, a promise that they would not be
- 24 prosecuted for their crimes and a promise that once
- released from prison, they and their family members

- could stay in the country. Various members got various
- 2 promises.
- In stark contrast, Jose Lopez Torres, an
- 4 MS-13 member, was promised nothing. His testimony will
- 5 not result in his release some day. His family will not
- 6 be given immigration benefits. He will not go into the
- 7 Witness Protection Program.
- 8 The best case scenario for Mr. Lopez is that
- 9 he will be looking over his shoulder for the rest of the
- 10 life while in prison. The worse case is he will be
- killed in short order for taking the stand and
- testifying about the gang.
- Mr. Lopez Torres knows he isn't going to get
- a prize for testifying. Testifying about MS-13 is not
- allowed, period. MS-13 does not do nuance. And he
- implicated Lil Evil and Marciano and others. This isn't
- going to get him a prize. It's likely to get him
- 18 killed.
- His testimony, ladies and gentlemen, was
- credible. He told you that he and Skinny dug a hole for
- Lagrima's reburial, and that Skinny, Slow, Duende and
- 22 Lil Evil reburied Lagrima. His credible testimony was
- that Alvin was not there.
- Ladies and gentlemen, the government carries
- a heavy burden of proof beyond a reasonable doubt, and

- they have not, and cannot, meet that burden with respect
- 2 to Alvin Gaitan Benitez. He is just the fall guy. He
- 3 stays home when the others flee.
- Junior played Alvin. Junior is all bluster
- 5 and got Alvin to bluster back at him, while Junior knew
- 6 it was all being recorded.
- This is a second representation of the poison played him, killing Lil Guasón
- 8 with Duende and then fleeing to Kansas City, leaving
- 9 Alvin back here to take the fall. And Duende, one of
- the real killers, got on the stand and lied and blamed
- others, including Alvin, for the murders so that --
- Duende committed, so that Duende himself can go free.
- The government's case is built on all these
- lies and bluster. Every single gang witness the
- government presented to you was biased and had very
- powerful motives to lie.
- And even before they agreed to cooperate,
- they were liars. They lie to survive. They lie to get
- what they want. They get the indictment and they
- 20 conform their testimony to it.
- We heard that some of the witnesses, such as
- Duende, had years of meetings with prosecutors and
- 23 agents to get their story straight. Testimony that is
- so obviously biased and shown to be untruthful is not
- proof beyond a reasonable doubt.

```
For all these reasons, ladies and gentlemen,
1
    we would ask you to return the only verdict permitted by
2
    law where credible evidence beyond a reasonable doubt is
3
    so lacking, as it clearly is in this case, and find
4
    Alvin Gaitan Benitez not guilty of Count 5 and Count 6.
5
                Thank you.
6
                THE COURT: Thank you.
7
                You may proceed.
8
                MR. SALVATO: Thank you, Your Honor.
9
            CLOSING ARGUMENT BY DEFENDANT LEMUS CERNA
10
                MR. SALVATO: Good morning, ladies and
11
    gentlemen.
12
                Good morning, Counsel.
13
                Good morning, Mr. Cerna.
14
                I just want to echo a couple things that
15
    Mr. Zimmerman said, just on a personal level. I want to
16
    thank you for your jury service. Jury service is --
17
    it's a duty and, more importantly for your personal
18
    lives, it's a personal inconvenience. It takes you away
19
    from those routines that you have, your kids, your
20
    family, your regular phone calls that you might make.
21
                So I cannot tell you how much I appreciate
22
    you coming every day, going through security twice,
23
    coming here and paying close attention, taking notes.
24
    And I really appreciate your personal service and
25
```

- your -- the personal inconvenience that you've taken in
- 2 this case.
- Ladies and gentlemen, Christian Cerna is
- 4 charged in Count 6 of this indictment. And I want to
- 5 emphasize two things during my closing argument.
- 6 Christian Cerna is not guilty, and he's not guilty for
- 7 two reasons.
- 8 First, as an overall matter, Count 6, the
- 9 whole event was not in furtherance of an enterprise.
- 10 The government must prove that the purpose of the
- actions involved in Count 6 were to gain entrance,
- maintain or increase someone's position in an
- enterprise, I'm going to speak about that in a little
- 14 bit.
- The second reason, ladies and gentlemen,
- that Christian Cerna is not guilty of Count 6 is the
- government cannot prove to you beyond a reasonable doubt
- that he is guilty of the murder of Lil Guasón, or that
- 19 he is guilty of aiding and abetting that murder. So,
- for those two overarching reasons, Mr. Cerna is not
- guilty of Count 6.
- And I want to make it very, very clear,
- because there's been a lot of innuendo and testimony and
- transcripts, some exhibits, he is not charged in
- 25 Count 4 -- and the government hasn't argued that -- and

- he is not charged in Count 5, the reburial. Okay. 1 So, while there's testimony and transcripts, 2 et cetera, about the reburial and whether Mr. Cerna was 3 involved in the reburial, Mr. Cerna is not even charged 4 in Count 5. 5 He only faces the charge in Count 6. 6 He's not in Count 1. His notebook with the 7 shapes and containers was found in the car -- not 8 charged in Count 1. He was only charged with respect to Count 6. And Count 6, ladies and gentlemen, quite 10 bluntly, is an absolute mess. 11 As an overall matter, the government really 12 can't have it both ways in this case. And we've seen a 13 lot of that from Ms. Martinez in her closing statement 14 15 is very well organized, there are specific rules, you 16
- and during the evidence. They can't tell you that MS-13 have to follow the rules and, therefore, it's this 17 enterprise, then turn around and say, well, not 18 everybody knows the rules. The rules can change. 19 Everybody has a different understanding of the rules, 20 and really, anybody that's in a gang who does any 21 criminal act, it must be gang-related or for the purpose 22 that the government must show is part of the RICO 23 statute.
 - That's not the law. And you've seen this

24

- 1 flip-flopping during the government's case over the past
- 2 six weeks and during closing argument.
- Ms. Martinez told you, as long as they do
- 4 something with the gang in mind, that's good enough.
- 5 And you'll see through the jury
- 6 instructions, that's not good enough. That isn't the
- 7 standard. Having the gang in mind does not qualify as a
- 8 RICO offense.
- Tattoos. You've seen a lot of testimony
- about tattoos. If you have tattoos, that's really bad.
- If you've got the 503, you've got the MS-13, that's
- really bad. That shows something. That shows your
- status in the gang.
- But if you don't have tattoos, like
- Mr. Cerna doesn't have a tattoo anywhere on his body,
- well, that's really bad, because he's a young guy and,
- you know, you're not supposed to have tattoos.
- So, it's this constant flip-flopping, that
- whatever the government has in terms of its witnesses is
- 20 good for its case. And that's not the law. That
- shouldn't be how you consider things.
- Detective Saa was the first witness. And as
- 23 Mr. Zimmerman said, he told you right off, right out of
- the bat, he said, everybody brags. MS-13 witnesses are
- untrustworthy, and everybody brags and takes credit for

- stuff that they didn't do. That's the way you are a big
- 2 shot.
- He also told you that MS-13 members, if they
- 4 cooperate, there's one place they don't want to go back
- 5 to, which is El Salvador. It's much easier to kill
- 6 somebody in El Salvador. They want freedom and to stay
- 7 in this country.
- 8 Detective Saa also told you, importantly,
- 9 that green lights are authorized for cooperation. Other
- rule violations, such as money issues, as the government
- has alleged in Count 6, are calentóns, or beatings.
- 12 That's the number one rule: green light for
- cooperation.
- Detective Saa also told you, out of the
- 15 hundred or so cases that he's investigated, that
- personal beefs do exhibit, that gang members do things
- outside of the structure of the gang, such as domestic
- assaults, was his example.
- Detective Saa never told you that an
- 20 enterprise, such as the government alleges here, gives
- green lights for sleeping with a girlfriend or an
- ex-girlfriend, ever. Detective Saa, the government's
- 23 first expert, their gang expert, said that -- he never
- said that anything like that ever happens.
- You heard the same thing from Buso. And

- it's interesting with Buso, as Mr. Zimmerman touched on
- for a second there, you kind of see the past and the
- 3 future in terms of these cooperators, when Buso took the
- 4 stand.
- 5 These cooperators are facing life in prison.
- 6 You saw, Buso got a life sentence with no parole, no
- 7 possible release. That was his past.
- 8 However, the future for him is that he still
- 9 got in prison -- trouble in prison. Remember that. He
- still got his Rule 35.
- And that's kind of a slight preview as to
- what happens with these cooperators. And they can come
- up here and preach to you, "Hey, I just got to tell the
- truth. That's all I got to do. It's up to the judge."
- But you saw in living color what happens to these
- cooperators.
- Buso shot a young kid on a bike in the back,
- in front of the kid's little sister, and he got
- 19 15 years, and he's expecting immigration help to stay
- 20 right here in our community. That's a preview of what
- 21 happens to these cooperators.
- And you also saw a preview between the
- interested witnesses and the disinterested witnesses.
- The interested witnesses, like Buso, came in and said,
- "Hey, I'm going to just come here and help the

- government. Hey, great, I got my time reduced." He was
- very interested in what he had to do to help the
- 3 government. And he came in, like Mr. Zimmerman said,
- and lied his butt off. Okay. "Visor, MS-13, I had it
- 5 pulled down. Nobody could see that."
- Disinterested witness, Officer Choi, comes
- in, says, "Yeah, that was the description. The guy had
- a big "MS" on his forehead."
- Now, nothing happens, though, to Buso. Is
- there any evidence that the government intends or has
- taken his Rule 35 away?
- Oh, no, wait a second, Buso, you lied about
- that. We know you lied, because Choi came in and told
- us something completely different; the very next
- 15 witness.
- Nothing happens. As long as Buso says what
- the government wants to hear to get from point A to
- point B to convict all of these guys, it's okay. He
- gets his 15 years, and maybe he stays in the community.
- And Ms. Martinez talks about justice.
- There's no justice for the young man that he shot, or
- the sister that witnessed that, to have Buso's sentence
- reduced from life to 15 years, and have him in our
- 24 community. That's not justice.
- And the government would have you believe

- that all these guys can do all of this stuff, right,
- shoot the kid, try to shoot your own mother, machete a
- guy, do all of these acts of violence, okay, but they
- 4 would never lie to get a sentence reduction. I mean,
- 5 that would be really wrong, right?
- I mean, that's basically what the government
- 7 has told you. We can do all -- they can do all this
- s stuff, but, lying to you, 18 people -- 12 people? No,
- 9 there's no chance they would ever do that to save
- 10 themselves.
- That is an absurd, preposterous position to
- take.
- A lot of this depends upon the translations
- and the linguists. And as Mr. Zimmerman indicated, the
- linguists are not even qualified to translate. They got
- a waiver. They skewed things for the government. They
- admitted that on the stand.
- These linguists are only to provide
- summaries, not translations. Mr. Aguilar told you that
- 20 he, in fact, was briefed by the agents.
- And the Court will tell you in its jury
- instructions -- this is jury instruction 92 out of 109:
- You were specifically instructed that whether the
- transcript correctly or incorrectly reflects the content
- of the conversation, or even the identity of the

- speakers, is entirely up to you. You can use your own
- 2 examination of the transcript and all of the evidence
- з that came in.
- So, the government's premise, their basis,
- is that these transcripts are completely accurate and
- 6 transcribed properly. And we would submit to you, for
- various reasons, and certainly the fact that these
- 8 linguists are not even supposed to testify, or qualified
- 9 to testify, that the transcripts are not accurate.
- And, Ms. Martinez did a great job in beating
- up the interpreter or translator that Mr. Castillo
- called, did a great job with her.
- But she had access to a lot of things that
- the defense didn't have, because of what their witnesses
- said that they did.
- This lady, this defense witness, at least
- took notes that were recoverable, that Ms. Martinez
- 18 could ask her about.
- What did the linguists do in this case?
- They erased every note. They typed over their summaries
- to produce these transcripts. There is no note at all
- that's been produced to you from what these linguists
- initially or originally heard on these transcripts, not
- one note.
- Not one note from Agent Uribe, who literally

- can't go -- and, you know, we've watched him, you've
- watched him. He can't go five minutes without
- scribbling out a note.
- There's no notes from Uribe to the
- 5 linguists. There is nothing from the linguists back to
- 6 Uribe, because everything has been erased. That should
- 7 give you reason to pause about whether these transcripts
- 8 are accurately transcribed.
- Drowsy told you again, the leader makes a
- decision. Cooperation equals green light. Nothing
- about an ex-girlfriend's baby's mother.
- You heard from Demente. Demente is really
- when you first saw that people brag about stuff that
- they didn't do.
- He said, um, the clique leaders make the
- decision, et cetera. He lied about Leopardo, about
- 17 Christian. He portrayed Christian as very
- sophisticated.
- And when I showed him the notebook, I said,
- "Isn't this a guy just learning his triangles and shapes
- and seasons?"
- "No, he's very sophisticated."
- He still battled me on that, battled me on
- that, battled me on that. And it's really the first
- example of how the cooperators are not only trying to

- get out of prison early, but they also lie about each
- other.
- I asked Demente -- and I think Mr. Aquino
- 4 did -- tell me about Duende. Tell me about Del Cid.
- 5 The good guy? Okay?
- Demente told you, he's a good guy, right?
- Duende, good guy, not violent at all; never saw Duende
- 8 with a gun, never saw him do anything violent, not a
- 9 devil worshiper. That was an absolute, outright lie.
- And the government took Duende under its
- wing in opening. They said Duende's role in Lil Guasón
- was to chop at his legs after he was dead, and he was
- 13 there for other murders.
- Now that's the understatement of, if not
- this year, of the decade. And you see this bragging
- about this very issue.
- In the government's closing, they put up a
- transcript from Christian, where Christian said, "Hey, I
- broke Lil Guasón's legs after he was dead."
- Well, he didn't do that. In fact, Duende
- said from the stand -- and Ms. Martinez said in the
- opening -- that it was Duende who did that. So, here's
- 23 Christian bragging about something in the tapes, if the
- tapes are even accurate, that he didn't do.
- Demente also told you that family, his wife,

- mother, father, sister, and obviously that respect has
- 2 to be given.
- Obviously, Duende didn't know that. And how
- 4 many times have we seen, "Let the record reflect the
- 5 hand signs" and "the devil horns" and all that.
- 6 Well, the government didn't show you, "Let
- 7 the record reflect Duende holding a shotgun to his
- 8 mother. Let the record reflect Duende is chopping at a
- 9 man's arms while he is tied to a tree."
- And the final, real proof of the crazy
- bragging that goes on with Demente is, Demente told you
- that he parades around the sector, he drives around
- these four blocks, walks around these four blocks, he
- sold marijuana twice.
- But Junior tells you that Demente brags to
- him that Demente sold \$6,000 worth of methamphetamine
- and cocaine. That's the amount of crazy bragging that
- these guys are doing to Junior and to others, to
- everybody.
- As to the plea agreements -- and
- 21 Mr. Zimmerman covered this a little bit, but Judge Lee,
- 22 with all of his power, where he sits, with all the power
- of a Federal Court, he cannot, he cannot, on his own,
- give a reduction in someone's sentence under the
- 25 criminal rules.

- The government has the sole discretion to do 1 He can't do it on his own. So if he's sitting 2 here and watching these witnesses and say, "Wow, I 3 thought this guy was really good. He should get a 4 reduction in his sentence. I want to give this guy a 5 reduction in his sentence." He can't do it. He is 6 powerless to do it. 7 The government has to, in its sole 8 discretion, come before Judge Lee and say, "Reduce 9 Duende's sentence." "Reduce the sentence of Buso." He 10 has no power to do it, which is in a lot of ways a 11 It's up to the government only. shame. 12 And the Court has told you how you must look 13 at these cooperators' testimony. 14 The jury must determine whether the -- and 15 this is in instruction 78 -- whether the testimony of 16 the alleged accomplice has been affected by 17 self-interest -- yeah, I want to get out of jail and not 18 go to El Salvador -- or by an agreement -- yeah, I've 19 got an agreement where it's up to them to get me out of 20 jail -- or by his own interest in the outcome of this 21
- Now, Duende has to cast a large net, and I want to get to that in a second. But Duende has to cast a large net. If he says, "Listen, you know, yeah, I

case.

- 1 killed Lil Guasón. You know, that stuff about the dull
- 2 knife, that was all ridiculous."
- I mean, the idea that Duende brought a dull
- 4 knife, given his resumé in terms of violent acts, to Lil
- 5 Guasón, that's absurd.
- Yeah, "but I did it," or, "maybe just
- 7 Benitez did it." He has to cast the net as wide as he
- 8 can, to pull in people like Solitario, to pull in people
- 9 like Christian, because that gets him out of jail,
- because the government is going to be happy with that.
- They're not going to be happy with "he did
- it" or "Benitez did it alone." They need a wider net.
- Which brings me to Junior. Ms. Martinez
- indicated that, um, she feels Junior is a hero.
- I see Junior as a habitual manipulator and
- liar. The way he's able to lie and blame others and
- make up outlandish stories is certainly absurd. Okay?
- Just his buying of the marijuana cigarette,
- spent 20 minutes with him, like, "Yeah, you know, I got
- it in my neighborhood. No, actually, I rolled into DC.
- I don't remember where. It was southeast DC for sure.
- Yeah, I remember southeast DC. But I don't remember how
- I got there, who I bought it from; just some random dude
- on the street, I guess."
- It's a complete absolute lie. That's not

```
heroic.
1
                When he talked about and gave the song and
2
    dance to you guys about, "Hey, the letter, I didn't get
3
    the letter. It was undelivered." That's bull.
4
    the letter and he delivered it to the judge. He's lying
5
    about those things, those little things.
6
                Ms. Martinez propped him up and said, "Hey,
7
    you're employed, aren't you?"
8
                "Yeah, full time."
                That was a lie. And that was an attempt to
10
    ingratiate himself to you guys, to make him look better.
11
    But as you saw during my cross-examination, he
12
    completely lied to the Federal Bankruptcy Court four
13
    blocks away, when he said he had no income, no
14
    employment, and nothing, when he was trying to get
15
    himself out of massive credit card debt, which got so
16
    massive that Ms. Martinez was able to object as
17
    cumulative.
18
                That's not heroic. The Bankruptcy Court,
19
    I'm sure the bankruptcy judge doesn't believe that's
20
             The creditors don't believe it's heroic.
    heroic.
21
    is not a great and heroic person to keep in the
22
    community, lying to Federal Courts, running up debt.
23
                He also told you that he was just on the
24
```

phone with Stone during this robbery, that he wasn't

- really there. 1 I would submit to you, if you look at the 2 transcripts that Mr. Zimmerman put up, that's a 3 bald-faced lie. So Stone is in there robbing people, 4 cutting finger people -- cutting fingers off, and he's 5 on the phone with Junior while all this is going on? 6 Come on. I mean, I was born -- not born 7 yesterday, right? You don't -- when you go through that 8 exit sign, you don't leave your common sense at the 9 door. "Hey, dog, I'm over here chopping fingers off." 10 "Oh, really? Hold on one second, man. 11 be right back." 12 That's baloney. 13 There is no way that Junior, Jose Garcia, 14 put on his green card application that he was in MS-13 15 and committed all these acts of violence, like he told 16 you. 17 Now, you haven't seen the application. 18 There is no way that he said that. 19 If we're allowing these folks to perpetrate 20
- that kind of lie, what else is he lying about?

 He is no hero. And if he moved in next to

you or me, I don't think you guys would open the windows

- and say, "Junior, what's up?" Love it that -- the fact
- that, "Hey, honey, a hero just moved in next door."

- You know, he's got a lot of weird guys
- coming over, he's doing some stuff, but don't worry,
- 4 punched that guy at Tyson's Corner, sure he told Agent

because he reports everything to the FBI, like when he

- 5 Born about that. Don't -- "Hey, let's have him over.
- 6 He's heroic."
- And you see that my guy is trying to be a
- 8 big shot. He's trying to brag it up to Junior. Because
- 9 that's how -- that's what you do. You try to brag it
- up. He's 18 when he's rolling around the woods with
- 11 Junior. Junior's 33 years old. He's a boy. Junior's a
- 12 **man**.

- East Coast leader of the clique. Can you
- imagine? You know, you get somewhere, you get some
- status or some rep or something just by bragging, with
- no verification, no nothing? Right?
- You go from Mr. -- you know, for anybody who
- 18 knows football, from Mr. Irrelevant in the draft to a
- top five pick, right? He's just, "Yeah, I went to the
- 20 University of Miami. I caught 80 passes." You're just
- bragging, because nobody is looking at what really
- happened.
- These grave sites were well-known. There
- was 20 guys or so mentioned. Even down in El Salvador
- they were well-known. The FBI knew that there was grave

- 1 sites.
- And what the Court said about my guy taking
- 3 Junior to a grave site that he knew about -- and the
- 4 Court instructed you on this, it's in your instruction
- 5 packet -- that that is not to be considered evidence as
- 6 to Count 6. I urge you to read that. Don't let the
- 5 smoke and mirrors confuse you in terms of what that trip
- 8 to the grave sites means. I would ask you to read that
- 9 instruction in particular.
- Then we got to Skinny. Is Skinny really the
- genesis of Count 6? No. Skinny is not even charged in
- 12 Count 6, right? Although this is supposedly his
- 13 girlfriend.
- Skinny said nothing was ever authorized.
- Remember, authorization, structure means RICO. And the
- government can't have it both ways, crazy organized but
- 17 not crazy organized.
- Skinny told you his girlfriend is Rosie, not
- Belén. He got a girl pregnant, Belén. He is not
- 20 married. There is no family. He didn't want Lil Guasón
- 21 **killed.**
- There was no -- there's no RICO. There's no
- purpose here.
- The government's theory is all about it was
- the money and the girl, and Skinny blows both of them

- away. He didn't care about Belén. He didn't authorize
- 2 anything. This was Belén's money. This was not gang
- 3 money. Skinny told you that from the stand. Okay?
- 4 He's closest to this situation. Skinny told you that
- 5 this was not gang money. This was Belén's money from
- 6 legitimate employment.
- So, the government told you in opening,
- 8 "Hey, he's stealing from the gang." He wasn't stealing
- 9 from the gang. This isn't RICO. There's a difference
- between personal beefs and gang activity. And just
- because Christian brags about it afterwards doesn't
- retroactively mean that was the purpose of any action.
- Which brings me to Mr. Villanueva. And I
- want to talk about Lil Slow in a little bit more detail.
- Lil Slow -- and I prepared -- and I'm sorry, I don't
- have a clicker, and Chris has been waiting patiently, so
- I do have something to put on. Okay.
- So, this is my, kind of my summary of I
- think the main points with Villanueva, okay? Because
- now we're really down to, there's no purpose, there's no
- 21 motive. Now we're down to the two purported
- eyewitnesses, which are Villanueva and Duende, or Lil
- 23 Slow and Duende.
- Lil Slow told you directly that this was not
- a gang killing at all. This was a personal beef. It

- was not a gang killing.
- 2 He also told you -- and I disagree with
- 3 Mr. Chick, and I know he was focused on his own guy, and
- 4 I appreciate that. But he told you under
- 5 cross-examination that Christian was not at this
- 6 meeting.
- Remember, I went through with Duende, how
- 8 close was Villanueva to Christian? Went through this
- 9 whole thing with him. "Oh, yeah, Christian was there."
- But Villanueva, who says he was there for
- the entire meeting, told you that Christian was not at
- the meeting about Lil Guasón.
- He also told you Skinny didn't care about
- the girl. This was Belén's money. Lil Slow told you --
- 15 I think he was hopelessly inconsistent on who actually
- 16 did what. He told you that Junior beat up a dude at
- 17 Tyson's, although Junior denied that.
- He said, out of the blue, Cerritos wanted it
- to happen. He is using meth and marijuana that night.
- 20 And you will see an instruction about that. That
- obviously affects his ability to observe things, and
- they've got to prove it beyond a reasonable doubt.
- Other people slept with Belén. Skinny
- 24 didn't care about Belén.
- And he said Guepardo stabbed him in the

- neck. That's different than what Duende says. And he
- 2 said Lil Payaso took his head off, which is different
- 3 than what the government says.
- Let's not lose sight of the fact, no pun
- intended, but the guy -- the man can't see. Right?
- 6 Remember, he's up there. He's trying to figure out, in
- a bright lit courtroom, where are these people?
- 8 Is Solitario way back there? Where is
- 9 Christian?
- The man can't see. And yet the government
- puts him up, with all of this testimony, to prove to you
- beyond a reasonable doubt that Christian was involved?
- 13 It's not true.
- Then, we get to Duende.
- Thank you, Chris.
- Then we get to Duende. And, I cannot
- emphasize to you more of what a liar this guy is. The
- dull knife story is absolutely preposterous.
- And, I want to emphasize one thing to you.
- 20 And as horrible as this is, right, in Duende's statement
- of facts, which the government says, "Well, we don't
- keep every detail in here," but this is a pretty
- important fact or detail, don't you think?
- In his statement of facts, which is in
- evidence -- it's one of our exhibits -- what Duende says

- about Christian's participation -- which they must show,
- the purpose and the participation -- his only
- g participation -- what it says in the statement of facts,
- 4 it says: After concluding the guy was dead, then his
- 5 head was taken off, after he was already expired.
- 6 With all this detail in the case -- and the
- 7 government is going to get up and say, "You know, we
- 8 don't have to put everything in the statement of facts.
- 9 It says it right there."
- All this detail in this case, you've seen
- cellphone records and cell towers and blow-ups and
- transcripts and thousands of calls, all this minutia,
- and on the one critical fact of whether Christian
- actually participated in this offense, it says, "After
- concluding he was dead..."
- There is no way the government, who wrote
- that document, would say that unless it was absolutely
- 18 true. Nothing happened with this guy's head --
- unfortunately, anything happened -- but nothing happened
- with respect to his head on my guy's even alleged
- 21 actions. Because, remember, there's like ten different
- 22 people that are supposedly involved in this. Nothing
- happened until after he was concluding he was dead.
- So, ladies and gentlemen, when you look at
- 25 Count 6, I would urge to you that Count 6, unlike

- 1 perhaps some of the other counts or situations that
- 2 Lopez Torres told you about, Count 6 is hopelessly
- inconsistent. It is hopelessly inconsistent.
- Is he at the meeting or not?
- 5 Duende says yes. Lil Slow says no. But Lil
- 6 Slow was there. Duende has killed like five people, you
- 7 know.
- 8 Did he stab him?
- 9 Ah, yeah.
- Lil Slow said, Guepardo stabbed him in the
- 11 neck.
- Duende doesn't say that.
- Skinny said Solitario cut off his head and
- 14 played soccer with it.
- But Lil Slow says it was Lil Payaso.
- Duende said it was Christian, after he was
- 17 already dead.
- Lil Slow says it wasn't related to the gang,
- but Duende says it was related to Skinny; it was
- 20 actually ordered by Payaso -- which nobody told you.
- Skinny himself says, he didn't want the
- gentleman killed, and it was Belén's money, not gang
- money.
- You've got incredible, like, inconsistency.
- 25 And this isn't like, "Hey, was it 10:00 o'clock or was

- it 11:00 o'clock?" This is the guts, this is the
- entirety of the government's case. These are the
- 3 elements of the offense.
- So, the question becomes whether the
- 5 government can prove to you beyond a reasonable doubt a
- 6 violation of 1959. And this is jury instruction number
- 7 15. And please don't just focus on my part of the
- 8 instructions. You read them all. The government may
- 9 stand up and say, "Well, he didn't tell you this or
- that." Read them all. Okay?
- And what 1959 says -- this is the violation
- of law, okay? This is what makes it a federal offense,
- not a state offense, because these offenses can still be
- 14 state offenses.
- But for this particular case, it says:
- 16 Whoever, for the purpose of gaining entrance to or
- maintaining or increasing a position in an enterprise,
- either murders -- or then the instruction goes on and
- says, aid and abet murder.
- So, what can the government actually prove
- with respect to Lil Guasón? Okay?
- Thanks.
- First, if we're ranking them, to use a
- football example, in draft order, right, the number one
- pick, probably, to kill Lil Guasón out in that park is

- 1 probably Duende. Right? He's certainly a much more
- 2 experienced person in this regard than Mr. Benitez,
- 3 certainly than anybody else here. Duende has a vast
- 4 amount of experience in terms of killing. And the story
- 5 about the dull knife just is absolutely incredible.
- So, if I'm looking at my draft board, Duende
- 7 is number one. Okay?
- 8 Secondly, I'd point you to what Duende said
- 9 initially. I mean, if I'm comparing Duende and
- 10 Mr. Benitez, it seems like Duende is the more likely
- 11 suspect.
- But what Duende told you in his -- or told
- Detective Ignacio, the very first time when he sat down
- to be interviewed by Detective Ignacio, he said,
- "Benitez did it by himself. Benitez killed the man."
- 16 **Okay**.
- And when I asked Duende directly on the
- stand, "Who actually murdered him? Who killed him?"
- He said, "It was Alvin Gaitan Benitez."
- And, you know, the government's theory is
- that this was all about the girl and this was all about
- the money.
- And, you'll see in the phone calls that, at
- least what Mr. Benitez -- and this is Exhibit, I
- believe, 10A, page five.

```
Now, remember, this is purportedly all about
1
    the girl, all about the money. But what Mr. Benitez
2
    seems to tell Junior -- and I understand Mr. Zimmerman's
3
    point about the puffing. I get that. And I think that
    in itself creates a reasonable doubt for Mr. Benitez.
5
                But what he told you, where Mr. Benitez
6
    seems to say, is that when a -- the first entry by
7
    Mr. Benitez, AG.
8
                MR. AMOLSCH:
                              Sorry.
                              That's okay.
                MR. SALVATO:
10
                -- when a person has your information, you
11
    run the risk.
                   The information.
12
                So it seems like if Duende's first statement
13
    to Ignacio is to be believed, that Benitez alone was the
14
    one that murdered the gentleman, there seems to be an
15
    ulterior motive going on here, because out of the blue,
16
    Benitez thinks, "Hey, maybe this guy is cooperating
17
    against me," when a person has your information. So it
18
    seems like there's an ulterior motive or motivation on
19
    the part of Mr. Benitez.
20
                When Duende was asked at first by Detective
21
    Ignacio, what happened out there, you heard no evidence
22
    that he said that Christian did anything with regard to
23
    Lil Guasón; nothing.
24
```

And don't forget the testimony of

- 1 Mr. Chavarria, okay, that was called, I think, by
- 2 Castillo's counsel. Chavarria, who gave the ride that
- 3 night, told you one person had blood on him. One
- 4 person. Okay? And remember the testimony of the
- 5 medical examiner and the testimony of the person from
- 6 the Smithsonian. The person from the Smithsonian,
- again, to backtrack, said he was probably already dead
- 8 when his head was removed; probably already dead.
- And the medical examiner said -- and you can
- look at the report -- the carotid artery were cut, that
- would result in, I feel, a very quick death and blood
- everywhere.
- And what Mr. Chavarria told you is that when
- anybody came back to the car, that there was only one
- person that had blood on him. And Mr. Chavarria told
- you very specifically that Christian did not have any
- blood on him. And, in fact, he sat in the seat next to
- him. He didn't have any blood on him. And the FBI even
- tested -- the FBI guy, the FBI man, tested that car,
- tested that front seat, and there was no blood in the
- 21 front seat.
- So, is Duende attempting to expand now his
- universe of people that were involved?
- Is that a reasonable hypothesis, as that
- 25 Mr. Chick told you? Is that a reasonable hypothesis,

- that Duende is trying to save his own butt by expanding
- the circle from what he initially told the police?
- I would submit yes, that is completely
- 4 reasonable hypothesis.
- Now, let's turn to the aiding and abetting
- 6 statute, which is kind of what the government uses as a
- 7 fallback.
- 8 The aiding and abetting instruction, ladies
- 9 and gentlemen, is fairly lengthy. I want you to read it
- specifically. But essentially it says that knowledge
- that a crime is being committed, or even presence
- combined with knowledge that a crime is being committed,
- is not enough.
- And this is page 57 of the instructions.
- 15 And the instruction that the Court read is very
- specific. Okay. The instruction is: The mere presence
- of a defendant where a crime is being admitted, even
- coupled with knowledge that -- by a defendant that the
- crime is being committed, or merely associating or being
- around people while the crime is being committed, is not
- 21 aiding and abetting.
- So, you have to look at three questions that
- you must answer all three of them affirmatively. And
- the government has to prove it to you beyond a
- 25 reasonable doubt.

```
Did Christian Cerna participate in the crime
1
    charged?
              0kay?
2
                What is the evidence on that? Okay?
3
    word of hopelessly inconsistent Villanueva versus
4
    hopelessly inconsistent Duende?
5
                Did he knowingly associate himself, did he
6
    seek by his actions to make the criminal venture
7
    succeed?
8
                Actions. Participation. The government
9
    cannot prove that to you beyond a reasonable doubt, for
10
    all the reasons that I went through.
11
                Finally, we come to Christian's own words to
12
    Junior, okay? And, this is at his bragging highest.
13
    This is the highest he can get. He's sitting in the
14
    general manager's office and he is bragging his butt
15
    off, okay?
16
                And at the worst, at the absolute worst,
17
    even if you believe Christian's own bragging to Junior,
18
    a 33-year-old dude that he thinks is the East Coast
19
    leader of MS-13, says, "Did you even get a chance to" --
20
    and the government added in its closing, "to go first?"
21
    Those words are not in there. Okay? It doesn't say,
22
    "Did you get a chance to go first?" That's not in
23
    there. "Did you get a chance?"
24
```

And he says, "No, man.

25

No."

```
But at least I cut his head off -- which
1
    five other people have been credited with.
2
    irregardless, or regardless, the gentleman is already
3
    dead, and the medical testimony establishes that. And
    mutilation of a corpse, however horrible it is, even if
5
    you believe every word of the government's theory, does
6
    not make Christian a murderer or an aider and abettor of
7
             The most the government can say is that he was
8
    present, perhaps he knew, although he wasn't at the
    meeting, but they cannot say beyond a reasonable doubt
10
    that he participated.
11
                Ladies and gentlemen, there's no physical
12
    evidence in this case tying Mr. Cerna to this offense.
13
    There's no knife.
14
                And the government will say, "Well, it's
15
    later on."
16
                Well, if you believe the government's
17
    theory, he's walking around with Junior, not having any
18
    idea Junior is with the FBI, so he wouldn't have any
19
    idea to hide stuff that's associated with this case.
20
                There's no knife recovered from my client.
21
    There's no prints on everything that they examine. And
22
    you can look at the Lopez Torres testimony. There is no
23
    blood on any clothes. There is no blood in Chavarria's
24
          There is no physical evidence, not one scintilla,
    car.
25
```

- connecting Christian Lemus Cerna to Count 6.
- The only thing you have is Duende and Lil
- 3 Slow. And you heard from Detective Betts, Christian was
- 4 selling, what, 1.6 grams of cocaine, right? I mean,
- just a minute amount. There is no blood, no DNA,
- 6 nothing.
- I'm almost done. I promise. But I want to
- 8 end with just a couple of things.
- You know, this burden is so high because of
- the stakes, okay, in any criminal case. Not a civil
- case, where it's preponderance. It's not a civil case
- where it might be clear and convincing, or probability,
- as Mr. Chick so eloquently put out to you. This is
- beyond a reasonable doubt. Okay?
- And, there were -- I saw in the newspaper
- the other day, there was 20 people in the past year that
- have been exonerated that were convicted by juries in
- New York, just in the past year.
- And I'm sure defense lawyers and prosecutors
- stood up before juries in that case and they argued
- their case, and maybe those juries didn't quite adhere
- to the high standard, or didn't follow the Court's
- 23 instructions.
- But exonerations do happen. You heard the
- judge instruct Agent Hicks about that. That's why it is

- so vitally important to maintain our proof beyond a
- reasonable doubt. If there's any reason to doubt in
- 3 this case, you must acquit.
- The Court instructed you on what reasonable
- 5 doubt is, what's the definition of reasonable doubt.
- 6 And you can think about it in your own minds, okay, and
- 7 come up with your own examples. So please don't take my
- 8 example as sacrosanct in any way, shape or form.
- 9 But as the Court instructed you, a
- reasonable doubt is a doubt that would cause you to
- hesitate in a matter of importance in your own affairs,
- 12 not, you know, are we ordering from the courthouse
- cafeteria? Are we going to go to the Patent Office?
- 14 Are we going to go to Pot Belly's? Okay? In a matter
- of importance in your own affairs.
- So let's put all of this stuff aside, right,
- all of this stuff aside, and let's say this case is
- about whether a child, your child, my child, should have
- 19 heart surgery. Would the quality and quantity of the
- government's evidence convince you, without any
- hesitation, to have your child have that surgery?
- And not to be flip, but would Dr. Del Cid
- and Dr. Villanueva convince you, without any hesitation,
- for your child to roll into that emergency -- to that
- operating room?

```
If you say, "Hey, yeah, of course, that's
1
    good, no second opinion. I don't hesitate to act. Why
2
    would I hesitate?" If the quality of the proof doesn't
3
    rise to that level of a matter in your personal
4
    affairs -- and you guys don't really know them, you
5
    don't really know us, you don't know the government --
6
    but your personal affairs, if it doesn't rise to that
7
    standard and you hesitate, you hesitate to act, then you
8
    have reasonable doubt.
                Hesitation is doubt. Doubt means not
10
             Horrible as it could be and how maybe
    guilty.
11
    gut-wrenching it could be on all fronts, the only proper
12
    verdict in this case is not guilty as to Christian Lemus
13
    Cerna.
14
                Thanks for your time and attention.
                                                      Thanks,
15
16
    guys.
                Thank you, Your Honor.
17
                THE COURT: Thank you.
18
                Ladies and gentlemen, we will take the
19
    morning recess now for 15 minutes. Remember what I said
20
    not to discuss the case. And we will return in
21
    15 minutes.
22
                Thank you.
23
                 (Court recessed at 11:28 a.m. and reconvened
24
                at 11:49 a.m.)
25
```

```
THE COURT: Ready to bring the jury out?
1
                MS. MARTELL: Yes, Your Honor.
2
                THE COURT: You can bring the jury out,
3
    Mr. Toliver. Thank you.
4
                (Jury present.)
5
                THE COURT: You may be seated.
6
                All right, Counsel, you may proceed.
7
                MS. MARTELL: Thank you, Your Honor.
8
          CLOSING ARGUMENT BY DEFENDANT DEJESUS CASTILLO
                MS. MARTELL: May it please the Court;
10
    Mr. Castillo, government counsel, ladies and gentlemen
11
    of the jury.
12
                I want to reiterate what's been said today
13
    about thanking you for your service and thanking you for
14
    paying attention during this case and doing your civic
15
    duty. Mr. Castillo wants to thank you. And on behalf
16
    of myself and Mrs. Ralls, my cocounsel, we thank you as
17
    well.
18
                Omar Castillo was not there.
                                               He isn't
19
    guilty. And ladies and gentlemen, the government didn't
20
    prove it.
21
                You do not have to believe that my client is
22
    innocent. You only have to find that there is
23
    reasonable doubt as to whether he is guilty.
24
```

Let's remember that the defense is under no

- obligation to offer any proof of innocence or to create
- reasonable doubt. That is the system that we have in
- 3 this country. That is the system that's been developed
- 4 in order to protect all of our rights.
- 5 That's why the government has to overcome
- 6 the presumption of innocence and remove any and all
- reasonable doubt. Ladies and gentlemen, I submit to you
- 8 that they have not done that.
- If you convict Mr. Castillo, that decision
- is final. There's no opportunity for second thoughts.
- 11 There's no change of heart down the road. So, before
- you convict him, you should make sure that he has been
- proven guilty to the exclusion of, and beyond any and
- 14 all, reasonable doubt.
- So, what is that? It's a belief so firmly
- rooted in the evidence that you don't have to worry
- about waking up in the middle of the night, thinking
- whether you convicted an innocent man.
- By your oath as jurors, you do not -- you
- cannot convict Mr. Castillo when, after careful
- consideration of the evidence, there still remains even
- one reasonable doubt as to whether he's guilty of these
- charges.
- Reasonable doubt may be that feeling that
- the evidence just doesn't convince you. Reasonable

- doubt can be that feeling that causes you to think, "I wish Ms. Martinez had shown me more evidence."
- I have watched you all during this trial as you listened to the witnesses and as you took notes and paid close attention. You are reasonable people. And if you have doubts, then that is reasonable doubt.
- I submit to you, ladies and gentlemen, that
 these charges have not been proven, and I'm going to go
 through each of the witnesses and the evidence presented
 to you.
- First of all, the only evidence that you've heard connecting Mr. Castillo to these crimes comes in two forms. The first, the audio recordings that were made by Junior, a confidential informant for the FBI.
- The second is the witnesses that came here and testified, all who have a plea agreement with the government and are receiving a reduction in their life sentences in order to come here and testify.
- I want to address these recordings first.
- In these recordings, it's true, both murders are
- 21 mentioned. And the government wants to stand up here
- and tell you that these recordings are some kind of
- confession and reliable evidence.
- But it is not. Ladies and gentlemen, all I hear on these tapes is my client restating what everyone

- else was talking about. 1 The expert who testified in this case, the 2 first witness, Claudio Saa, told you that bragging is 3 part of the MS-13 culture, and that fellow gang members 4 brag to each other about things that they didn't do. 5 It's funny that the government doesn't want 6 to acknowledge that, when Junior, their informant, has 7 been doing just that for ten years. As the other 8 defense counsel told you, he rose up the ranks to become leader of a well-known MS-13 clique, known as the 10 Silvas, and he did so by mere bragging and boasting 11 about things he didn't do. 12 Another point that I want you to take a look 13 at is the dates of these calls. These recordings with 14 Junior and my client, they take place months, months 15 after everyone else was already talking about these 16 murders and everyone else already knew what had 17 happened. 18 I want to point to that one specific call 19 that Ms. Martinez showed you yesterday during her 20 closing, the one that she says where Mr. Castillo is 21
- closing, the one that she says where Mr. Castillo is talking about how Lagrima begged for his life. Ladies and gentlemen, that call was dated January 29th, 2014, which is months after Mr. Lopez Torres had already told Junior the same thing.

- The government might tell you: Well, who 1 brags about these things? 2 Certainly, we would not, but we're not in 3 MS-13, where you have to lie to survive. 4 And here's what they want you to ignore: 5 Junior is the leader of the Silvas clique. He has a 6 direct line, not only to the leadership of PVLS here in 7 Virginia, but he also has a direct line to the 8 leadership in El Salvador, ladies and gentlemen. If my client were to talk to Junior and not 10 let him know -- and not tell him that he knew about the 11 things that were going on within the clique, then he 12 might be the rat. That might give Junior suspicion. 13 And we all know what happens to rats. They can die. 14 Those are the rules. 15 Everything that my client talks about -- and 16 Junior told you this during cross-examination -- are 17 things that Junior already knew. He already knew 18 because it was what everybody was talking about. 19 what everybody was bragging about. 20 And homeboys lie. That's what the 21
- government's own witnesses told you. It's one of the
 few things they all agreed on. Homeboys lie. They lie
 to each other and they lie to survive.
- The other issue with the government relying

- on these audio recordings is that they're trying to use
- them to prove my client guilty beyond any and all
- 3 reasonable doubt. And that means you need to be sure
- 4 what's on those recordings.
- It's undisputed that the quality of these
- 6 recordings is poor. They're hard to hear. There's
- ⁷ background noise. There's people talking over each
- 8 other. And even in the transcripts provided by the
- government, you'll see many instances where it says
- "U/I" or "unintelligible," meaning that even the person
- listening to them could not decipher what's on those
- calls, what's being said.
- Go beyond the snippets that Ms. Martinez is
- presenting to you in trial in those transcripts, and
- what you will see is Junior and my client merely
- bragging and talking about what's going on within the
- 17 clique.
- I also want to point out that in those
- calls, my client never goes into details about what
- 20 happened. He uses words like "we" and "they," not
- giving specific details. "We took him to the
- 22 restaurant."
- Ladies and gentlemen, he's not giving
- details because he doesn't know them, because he wasn't
- 25 there.

```
Everything you have before you tells you not
1
    to trust these calls. You have audio recordings where
2
    people are claiming things that just didn't happen.
3
                Excuse me.
4
                You have people like Pesadilla on the audio
5
    recordings claiming that he cut off Lil Guasón's head.
6
    And he says that in multiple recordings.
7
                That's not true. That didn't happen.
                                                        And
8
    the government isn't alleging that that happened.
                                                        But
9
    they want you to ignore that part of the call because it
10
    doesn't fit their case.
11
                Mr. Lopez Torres says on the call -- on one
12
    of the calls with Junior that Lagrima was dismembered
13
    twice. That didn't happen, either. But again, they
14
    want you to ignore that part of the calls. Don't trust
15
    that part, because that part isn't true.
16
                Junior's been bragging and talking about
17
    things he didn't do for over ten years. So these
18
    recordings really don't prove that Mr. Castillo is
19
    guilty of murder beyond any and all reasonable doubt.
20
                My client is charged with two counts, and I
21
    want to discuss these separately. He's charged with
22
    Count 4 and Count 6.
23
                The first charge is Count 4 in the
24
    indictment, the murder of Nelson Quintanilla Trujillo,
25
```

- who we also have heard referred to as Lagrima. Let's
- talk about the government's witnesses that you heard
- 3 during this trial in regards to Count 4.
- Skinny. He's the first witness that
- 5 testified about the Lagrima murder. Well, Skinny was
- 6 high on marijuana laced with crystal meth that night.
- 7 And you have an instruction from Your Honor about the
- 8 testimony of witnesses that admit that they were under
- 9 the influence of drugs.
- Now Skinny tells you that he was there. He
- was at the Lagrima murder, but he only held down his
- 12 arms.
- The other witnesses that the government
- brought do not say that. They say differently.
- Ms. Martinez also told you yesterday that
- Lil Payaso helped Skinny knock down Lagrima. That's
- 17 what she said.
- But that's not what happened. That's not
- what Skinny testified to. Skinny said he knocked down
- Lagrima, not Mr. Castillo. He didn't say Mr. Castillo
- 21 helped him. He said he did it. But Mr. -- but
- 22 Ms. Martinez wants to gloss over that.
- Skinny also says that Lil Payaso, my client,
- Mr. Castillo, held down Lagrima's feet. But, the other
- government witnesses say no, that it was Skinny who held

- down Lagrima's feet, not Mr. Castillo.
- Skinny tells you Lil Payaso stabbed him.
- Where? Why doesn't he give details? Why
- 4 doesn't he tell you where he stabbed him? When? Was he
- 5 first? Was it second? How did this murder actually go
- 6 down? He doesn't give you any of those details.
- 7 He also tells you that Mr. Castillo dragged
- 8 the body to the grave. Lil Slow doesn't say that.
- 9 Duende doesn't say that, either.
- Ladies and gentlemen, the witnesses are
- inconsistent.
- Skinny tells you that Mr. Castillo wasn't
- there during the planning of the Lagrima murder. But
- that's different than what Duende says.
- Skinny tells you that Little -- that Lil
- Payaso was at the murder. That's what he testified to
- here in court. But remember when we asked him, he had
- previously stated, when he first spoke to law
- enforcement, that Mr. Castillo wasn't there.
- It was only after he received the
- indictment -- and you heard about this yesterday. It
- was only after he received the evidence of what the
- government wanted to prove that he added people. That's
- when he mentions, "Oh, Lil Payaso was there. He stabbed
- 25 him." But that's not what he first said.

- Skinny also tells you that Lil Payaso was
- 2 not at the reburial of Lagrima. In fact, he wasn't
- 3 there. He's not charged with that crime. But you'll
- 4 see later on that one of the government's witnesses says
- 5 he was there.
- So, Lil Slow testifies next, and I want to
- 7 mention that Lil Slow's testimony was inconsistent, not
- 8 only with what he had said before to law enforcement,
- 9 but it was inconsistent with the other government
- 10 witnesses.
- Mr. Villanueva, Lil Slow, testified that he
- was also high on crystal meth. In fact, Mr. Villanueva
- told you that he was pretty much high every single day
- up until the time he was arrested.
- He says that Skinny was the one holding
- Lagrima's legs, not Mr. Castillo.
- What else did he say that's different? He
- says that, well, it was Mr. Castillo that knocked down
- 19 Lagrima, not Skinny.
- But, that's not all the inconsistencies. He
- also testifies that Mr. Castillo stabbed Lagrima.
- Well, that's pretty much what all the
- witnesses say, is that, "Yeah, he was there, and he
- stabbed him, we think, but we don't know where. We're
- not going to testify where he stabbed him, when he

- stabbed him," and it's pretty much inconsistent about the details.

 When Lil Slow first was interviewed by
- police, he told the police that he had no recollection of any actions by Mr. Castillo. He denied that on the stand.
- But Detective Betts, when he testified, confirmed that, that Lil Slow initially could not recollect Mr. Castillo's actions during the murder.
- Ms. Martinez wants you to believe that:
- 11 Well, he doesn't have the capacity to lie.

21

22

23

24

- Well, he certainly had the capacity from sometime after he received evidence in this case, in order to include Mr. Castillo, because when he met with the government, Ms. Martinez, the agents and Detective Betts, he had a different recollection.
- Duende was the following witness that testified about the Lagrima murder. Ladies and gentlemen, I submit to you, someone who kills without remorse cannot tell the truth about anything.
 - Duende's testimony did not match up with any of the other witnesses. In fact, he pretty much was just roping people in as he saw them in the courtroom and just making things up while he was on the stand.
- He says, Mr. Castillo stabbed Lagrima in the

```
stomach.
1
                Take a look at the autopsy report.
                                                     Nobody
2
    stabbed Lagrima in the stomach.
3
                He says that Mr. Castillo helped rebury the
4
    body, that he was at the reburial.
5
                Skinny pretty much named everybody at the
6
    reburial except Mr. Castillo. And Lil Slow didn't tell
7
    you that Mr. Castillo was at the reburial, either.
8
                He also said that Mr. Castillo was at the
9
    Peligroso -- was part of the plan to murder Peligroso.
10
    Ladies and gentlemen, we didn't hear from any of the
11
    witnesses, Demente, Drowsy, about Mr. Castillo being
12
    involved in the attempted murder of Peligroso.
13
    just made that up.
14
                There was another person that we heard
15
    talked about that didn't testify in this trial, and that
16
    was Lil Evil. And you heard the witnesses say that Lil
17
    Evil was present during the Lagrima murder.
18
                Well, Lil Evil, when interviewed by law
19
    enforcement -- and Detective Betts testified to this --
20
    at some point law enforcement interviewed him and showed
21
    him a picture of Mr. Castillo. And Lil Evil could not
22
```

Lagrima murder. That was Detective Betts's testimony. 24 25

100 percent identity Mr. Castillo as being at the

23

Mr. Lopez Torres also testified about the

- 1 Lagrima murder. And a lot has been said about his
- testimony, and you don't have to believe he's telling
- 3 the truth if you don't want to. It's enough that his
- 4 testimony gives you a reason to doubt the evidence
- 5 presented by the government.
- Remember, the defense doesn't have to prove
- 7 anything. And I want to say this about Mr. Lopez
- 8 Torres's testimony: At some point the government seemed
- 9 to allege that he was testifying so that he could run
- the clique inside the Federal Bureau of Prisons.
- I agree with Mr. Jenkins. That's
- 12 ridiculous. If Mr. Lopez Torres wanted to run a clique
- within the prison system, he wouldn't have gotten on the
- stand and testified. There's plenty of MS-13 members
- not sitting in this room.
- Mr. Lopez took the stand and admitted to
- guilt and told you what happened during the Lagrima
- murder. He also told you that he's going away for life
- for that, and that he has a mother and a family that he
- won't ever see again, because he's not getting a plea
- agreement. He's not getting a reduction in his
- sentence.
- Another thing that stood out to me about his
- testimony that made it believable was the way he
- testified during cross-examination about those other

- incidents that Ms. Martinez asked him about.
- Remember when Ms. Martinez asked him about
- 3 that .22, and he wouldn't name the person, but he said
- 4 that a homeboy sent it to him.
- Ladies and gentlemen, he didn't lie about
- 6 it. He didn't want to name the individual. But I
- ⁷ submit to you that if there had been other people at the
- 8 Lagrima murder, he would have testified that there were
- other homeboys there. He might not have named them, but
- 10 he would have told you other homeboys were there. He
- didn't do that, because there wasn't.
- Regardless, the testimony of Mr. Lopez
- Torres is just one more reason to doubt this case. It's
- 14 not who do you believe. While it's true that not
- everybody can be telling the truth, that's not the
- proper way of looking at it. The real issue is whether
- you believe the government witnesses beyond any and all
- reasonable doubt, if you believe their inconsistencies
- and the fact that their testimonies cannot agree on any
- of the details of this murder.
- The second charge against my client is
- 22 Count 6, the murder of Gerson Martinez Aguilar, which
- you also heard referred to as Lil Guasón. And Skinny
- was the first -- when Skinny testified, he wasn't at the
- murder of Lil Guasón, but he did testify about it.

And Skinny's testimony is important, because 1 in this case, like Mr. Salvato told you, the government 2 has to prove purpose. And you'll see that in your jury 3 instructions. The government has to prove why this 4 murder was committed, because they've charged it as 5 murder in aid of racketeering. 6 And Skinny gives us some insight as to why 7 the government hasn't proven purpose beyond a reasonable 8 He tells you that it was the money of Belén, his doubt. baby mother, not the gang's money. 10 And he also tells you that he had no issue 11 with Lil Guasón and Belén, because that had already been 12 That wasn't his girlfriend any more. He was settled. 13 with someone else. That issue had already been taken 14 care of, and him and Lil Guasón, before he went away to 15 prison, were on good terms. 16 Skinny also testified that during his 17 incarceration he was incarcerated with Lil Poison, 18 Douglas Duran Cerritos, and that Lil Poison told Skinny 19 that Lil Payaso, Mr. Castillo, wasn't there. He didn't 20 mention him when he told Skinny about the murder of 21 Gerson Aguilar Martinez. He didn't mention Mr. Castillo 22 as being there. 23 Lil Slow was the next witness that testified 24 about the murder of Gerson Aguilar Martinez. And Lil 25

- 1 Slow tells you that it was Duende who broke Lil Guasón's
- 2 leg with a pickax.
- Now, that's the opposite of what Duende
- 4 says. Duende tells you that it wasn't him. It was
- 5 Mr. Castillo.
- 6 Lil Slow also tells you that it was
- 7 Mr. Castillo who cut off Gerson Aguilar Martinez's head.
- 8 That's not what Duende said either.
- And I would suggest that's not a minor
- detail, ladies and gentlemen, and the two government
- witnesses who came and testified about that murder
- cannot agree -- cannot even agree on that point.
- Lil Slow, under cross-examination, could not
- keep his story straight about who stabbed who, when, and
- 15 who did what.
- When Duende testified, he completely
- contradicted everything that Lil Slow had said. Duende
- says that it was Leopardo and Solitario who cut off his
- head; didn't mention Mr. Castillo. He also says that it
- wasn't him who broke Duende's (sic) legs with the
- 21 pickax, that that was Mr. Castillo.
- Now, another thing that's interesting about
- Duende's testimony is that you can't believe Lil Slow
- and Duende. They're contradicting each other. They're
- inconsistent with one another, and not on minor details,

- like Ms. Martinez wants you to believe.
- But Duende tells you -- and Mr. Salvato
- 3 discussed this -- that he showed up to the murder of
- 4 Gerson Aguilar Martinez with a dull knife, that he
- 5 didn't get a chance to stab him because he had a dull
- 6 knife.
- Ladies and gentlemen, if you believe the
- 8 government's theory of the case, that there was a plan
- 9 to kill Lil Guasón, a plan where they had several
- meetings, then why would Jose Del Cid, Duende, show up
- 11 with a dull knife?
- That goes against their case, so they don't
- want you to focus on that. But it doesn't make sense.
- It's not consistent with the crime they've charged.
- Duende testifies about things that no other
- 16 witness can confirm.
- We brought a witness who testified. His
- name was Hector Chavarria. Ladies and gentlemen, that
- is what we call an unbiased witness, doesn't have a plea
- agreement, wasn't getting anything for his testimony.
- You didn't hear that he was being paid. You didn't hear
- that he had some kind of deal.
- What did he tell you about that night? He
- told you that he saw members of PVLS, of MS-13's PVLS
- clique, the night that Gerson was killed, at Holmes Run

- Park. He was there on two separate occasions.
- And, Mr. Salvato asked him. He was there
- 3 because he drove people to the park that night. He saw
- 4 somebody with blood. But he told you without a doubt
- 5 that he did not see Omar Castillo that night.
- 6 Ladies and gentlemen, that is reasonable
- 7 doubt. Lil Payaso, Mr. Castillo, he wasn't there that
- 8 night. All of the inconsistencies by the government's
- 9 witnesses raise reasonable doubt as to their testimony.
- But again, Ms. Martinez told you yesterday,
- ignore the inconsistencies in this case, ladies and
- gentlemen, because the core details are the same.
- Ladies and gentlemen, the fact that a
- witness says this person was there, is not enough to
- convict someone beyond a reasonable doubt. These
- inconsistencies cannot be overlooked, if you are to
- prove this case beyond a reasonable doubt.
- The truth, ladies and gentlemen, does not
- 19 have different versions. Who cut the head off is a core
- 20 detail. The fact that all the witnesses couldn't even
- 21 agree on that shows that they could not prove this case
- beyond a reasonable doubt.
- The evidence you heard that connects
- Mr. Castillo to these crimes is based on the testimony
- of other individuals. Some of them had made previous

- inconsistent statements. Some of them had previously
- 2 said they couldn't remember his actions. They gave
- inconsistent stories about the murder.
- And the government wants you to avoid all
- these details, why? Because the details don't match,
- 6 because he wasn't there and he didn't commit these
- 7 murders.
- 8 Yesterday, Ms. Martinez gave you an excuse
- 9 about that, and that's what it was. It was an excuse.
- 10 She said: These guys aren't choirboys. Gang members
- don't kill unless it's in front of other gang members.
- Ladies and gentlemen, we sat here and we
- heard the instructions that the judge gave you. There
- was no gang member exception. There was nothing that
- said that you treat a witness differently because, well,
- he's a gang member, he's a liar, so we don't have to
- hold him to the same standard. That's not our system.
- 18 That's not the law.
- Reasonable doubt can arise both from the
- evidence and also the lack of evidence, and I want to go
- through the lack of evidence in this case.
- There is no DNA or forensic evidence in this
- case that you heard connecting Mr. Castillo to the
- murders of either Lagrima or Lil Guasón.
- 25 What's the reason we want DNA and forensic

- evidence? Is because it speaks for itself. It's not
- biased. It's not prejudice. It has no ulterior motive.
- 3 It's not corrupt. It's pure. And the lack of forensic
- 4 evidence in this case raises reasonable doubt. You
- 5 can't disregard that.
- No one said that Mr. Castillo sold drugs.
- 7 That wasn't mentioned in the notebooks. There's a lot
- 8 in the notebooks that Ms. Martinez wants you to
- 9 overlook. Mr. Castillo is not mentioned as a drug
- dealer, as a drug user, during this case.
- But there's something else in those
- notebooks, when you look through them in the jury room.
- A lot of people owed money to the gang. It's part of
- the business that the gang had. You'll see that some
- 15 gang members owed \$500, \$400.
- So when the government tells you that that
- was the reason that Lil Guasón got killed, take a look
- at the evidence that you have. It's not a reason for
- murder, because a lot of the PVLS cliques were selling
- 20 drugs and owed money, took money, borrowed money.
- You'll see that in the notebooks.
- There was no evidence that Mr. Castillo kept
- any weapons or had any weapons. They didn't find any --
- There were no weapons because they didn't find any
- 25 weapons on him.

- There's also no evidence that he fled 1 Ms. Martinez talked about going to Kansas anywhere. 2 City shows that these people -- you never heard anything 3 about Mr. Castillo going anywhere. He didn't flee to 4 Kansas City. He didn't flee anywhere. 5 You didn't hear any evidence about him 6 worshiping the devil. You didn't hear him being 7 involved in other gang-related crimes, did you? 8 That shooting that Ms. Martinez talked about, where there was a bunch of people, he wasn't 10 there. You didn't hear that. 11 She showed you pictures of MS-13 members at 12 a party, just having a good time. She wants to say that 13 means something. Well, guess what? He wasn't there, 14 either. 15 Junior shows pictures that are taken outside 16 of hotel rooms where Junior says general meetings took 17 place. 18 Did Junior testify about what went on in 19 those meetings? No. 20 Did he even tell you that Omar went inside 21 those meetings? No. 22 You didn't see any cellphone records 23
- belonging to Mr. Castillo. They had an FBI expert that
 came in and talked about satellite triangulation and the

CAS system, how they can drive around and find out if 1 your cellphone was in an area. 2 How come they didn't do that for 3 Mr. Castillo, to prove he was in the park that night? 4 Later on today, Ms. Martinez will have 5 another chance to speak with you. I won't have that 6 other opportunity. But think, when she's talking to 7 you, think about the inconsistencies in the witnesses' 8 testimony. Think about the parts of the recordings that she has with Junior and other people in this room that 10 are inconsistent with her story. 11 What do you do with that evidence? 12 At the end, you'll be asked to return one of 13 two verdicts. There's only two verdicts in our legal 14 system; that's guilty and not guilty. And our system 15 puts the burden of proof on the prosecution to prove 16 that someone is guilty beyond any and all reasonable 17 doubt. And if they can't do that, then that means 18 they're not guilty. And by your oath, that mandates you 19 to return a verdict of not guilty. 20 What that means is that in our legal system, 21 not guilty means a lot of different things. It means. 22 I'm not a hundred percent sure about this evidence. 23 means, maybe they did it, maybe they didn't, but I'm not 24

convinced beyond a reasonable doubt. All of those

25

- states of mind are not guilty.
- Ladies and gentlemen, vote reasonable doubt.
- 3 I'm not here to beg you for a verdict, and I'm not here
- 4 to plead. I'm simply going to ask that you respectfully
- 5 do your duty and vote the reasonable doubt that you know
- 6 exists in this case.
- No one will second-guess you or challenge
- 8 you. If you return a verdict of what justice demands,
- "We had reasonable doubt," that verdict, ladies and
- gentlemen, is not guilty. Mr. Castillo is not guilty on
- 11 Count 4 and Count 6.
- Thank you for your attention.
- THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, the next
- argument would be approximately 50 minutes to an hour.
- 15 I think that the prudent thing to do is break now for
- lunch. And I normally break at 1:00, but I would like
- to break now.
- And I remind you not to discuss the case,
- nor permit the case to be discussed in your presence.
- 20 Don't do any research on the case. And leave your notes
- in the jury deliberation room.
- We will resume -- it looks like it's
- 23 12:30 -- 1:30. Come back at 1:30. Thank you.
- (Court recessed at 12:28 p.m. and reconvened
- at 1:34 p.m.)

- THE COURT: Ready to bring the jury out? 1 Okay. 2 Mr. Toliver you can bring our jury out. 3 Thank you. 4 (Jury present.) 5 THE COURT: You may be seated. 6 All right, Counsel, you may proceed. 7 CLOSING ARGUMENT BY DEFENDANT CHAVEZ 8 MR. AQUINO: Good afternoon. Let's get 9 right to it. 10 The government alleges in Counts 7, 8 and 9 11 that our client, Jesus Chavez, was the shooter who 12 killed Julio Urrutia on June 19th of 2014. 13 In fact, the government is not confident in 14 who killed Mr. Urrutia. They are mistaken in trying to 15 lay the blame at Jesus Chavez's feet. They are wrong. 16 And the government's good judgment has become impaired 17 and damaged in the process. 18 Now, the government case. The government 19 claims that Jesus shot and killed Julio Urrutia, and 20 that conclusion revolves around several factors. First, 21 the testimony of Jose Del Cid, Duende, and Sen Genaro 22 Garcia, Gatuso, both of whom testified that Jesus was 23 the shooter. 24
- Now, as a general rule, we know an awful lot

- about MS-13, about gang members who lie. And a lot of
- lawyers already addressed that issue. But I would like
- 3 to add just one additional thing.
- 4 We know that these guys are really crafty
- 5 and skilled liars. And it stands to reason. For
- 6 example, the more you hit a baseball, the more you hit a
- tennis ball, the more you hit a golf ball, you become
- 8 better at it. And these guys have been lying their
- entire lives. As Mr. Torres says, they are taught to
- 10 lie.
- Now let's get to the specifics, Jose Del
- 12 Cid. Detective Ignacio admits that Del Cid said that
- Gatuso was the shooter, and that Gatuso took the weapon
- 14 following the shooting.
- To be clear, if you accept that as a true
- statement, that's the end of the case. Because clearly,
- the government's theory is, is that Jesus was the
- shooter.
- So you have a choice. Do you believe that
- statement that Del Cid made to Detective Ignacio or not?
- If the answer to that question is yes, then that's it.
- 22 If the answer to that question is no, then we know that
- 23 he lied about a material aspect of this case. And let's
- face it, you can't get more material than who the
- shooter was, right?

- Now, what else do we know about Del Cid? We
- 2 know that Del Cid has given false names to the police
- 3 prior to the shooting.
- Why do you do that? You do that to trick
- 5 people, namely the police, in an effort to conceal your
- 6 identity. So we know that about Del Cid.
- Now, I don't know who the shooter was. I
- 8 wasn't there. You weren't there. And the government
- 9 lawyers were not there, to be clear.
- But what we do know is that Del Cid is a
- likely candidate for that. What do we know about Del
- 12 Cid?
- I mean, that is truly, truly evil guy that
- took that witness stand, I mean, evil in the flesh,
- 15 right in front of you.
- We know that he attempted to shoot and kill
- 17 his own mother. We know that he had his hand in several
- 18 other murders.
- And what else do we know about him? We know
- that he had tattoos on both of his arms.
- Now, to be fair to the evidence, we heard
- from Vidal Jimenez and we heard from Detective Buckley.
- 23 And what did Detective Buckley say about Vidal Jimenez?
- He said that within two hours of the shooting, within
- two hours of the shooting, that Vidal Jimenez said that

- the shooter had tattoos on both of his forearms, both of
- 2 his forearms. And we know that Del Cid has tattoos on
- both of his arms, truly not a perfect fit, not his
- forearm, necessarily, but both of his arms.
- In addition, what else do we know that Vidal
- 6 Jimenez stated to Detective Buckley within two hours of
- 7 the shooting? Within two hours of the shooting, he said
- 8 that the shooter was 5 foot 8 to 5 foot 9 and
- 9 165 pounds.
- Now, to be clear, in this courtroom Vidal
- Jimenez says that the shooter was 5 foot 11 and
- 12 **180** pounds.
- The point I'm making is, is that the
- description given within two hours of the shooting as to
- 15 height and weight more closely resembles Del Cid than it
- 16 does Jesus Chavez.
- In addition, we know through Mr. Torres,
- that is Greñas, that he had discussions with Duende at
- the Alexandria Jail about the case and the evidence in
- the case. And it's clear that the inmates shared
- information through a series of notes. Torres states
- that those notes went between him and Duende, and it
- also suggests that Duende was communicating with other
- 24 people at the jail.
- I submit that he was communicating likewise

- with Gatuso. We know that both were at the jail,
- 2 according to Duende, for an extended period of time. We
- 3 know that Duende says that he saw Gatuso at the jail.
- I submit that in light of those notes that
- 5 we heard Torres talk about, that Gatuso and Duende had
- 6 an opportunity and a motive to align their stories. And
- we know that Duende admits, by the way, that on at least
- 8 one occasion he communicated with Gatuso.
- Now, the government has spent a lot of time
- talking about the transcripts in this case, transcripts,
- transcripts, and they've highlighted two, two in
- particular, as to my client, Jesus Chavez. They cited a
- June 27th, 2014, telephone call and a June 29th, 2014,
- telephone call.
- Let's work backwards. The June 29th
- telephone call is between Talibán and it includes Junior
- and others.
- Now, the threshold issue is, on that call,
- the government contends that Talibán is Jesus Chavez.
- The threshold issue on that is, is it? Is Talibán Jesus
- 21 Chavez?
- Now, we know two things about that call. We
- know that Junior, he doesn't know who Talibán is. He
- admitted in cross-examination he never meet the guy
- before. He had never spoken to the guy before. So

- Junior is not in position to authenticate that call. He
- 2 doesn't know the guy.
- And, so who is the government relying upon
- 4 to make the connection that Talibán is Jesus Chavez?
- 5 Jose Del Cid, the killer, liar.
- Now, what else do we know about that call?
- 7 There was a lot of testimony in this case about
- 8 bragging, and that's how you advance your role in the
- gang. If you kill somebody, for example, you tell
- people about it and you brag about it and you talk about
- 11 it.
- Would be a rough equivalency, a football
- game in the NFL, a running back scores a touchdown,
- spikes the footballs, makes a big deal, and everybody
- 15 attracts attention to him.
- Well, that's what these MS-13 guys do,
- except murder is their goal, and they want to attract
- attention to themselves when they kill someone.
- Now what's interesting and what's applicable
- in the case about that telephone call, the June 29th
- telephone call, is that Junior indicates that Talibán
- never talks about killing Mr. Urrutia, nor does Talibán
- ever indicate that he was with Gatuso or Del Cid at the
- time of the shooting.
- Again, I want to underline this one point:

- 1 Mr. Torres emphasized in his testimony that Duende
- 2 bragged about the killing of Mr. Gerson. There was no
- 3 discussion in that telephone call about killing
- 4 Mr. Urrutia or being with Duende and Gatuso.
- 5 We simply say that the government
- 6 mischaracterizes that telephone call.
- Now, let's get to the next telephone call,
- 8 June 27, 2014, involving Del Cid, Duende and Junior.
- And the government says: Ha-ha, look, Del
- 10 Cid is talking about the murder and what happened in the
- 11 Urrutia murder.
- Not so fast. The gist of that telephone
- call was Duende making Del Cid aware: Man, you've got a
- problem and you got a big problem, and here's why. That
- was an unauthorized killing without notice to the gang
- prior to the shooting.
- In addition, Junior makes it crystal clear
- that that was an MS-13 member that got killed, and
- 19 El Salvador is not happy and you've got some real
- explaining to do, Del Cid, about that killing.
- And, in addition, Junior indicates that the
- shooting occurred in Chirilagua. But, Duende's area to
- patrol was Culmore. And that was confirmed by Del Cid
- in his testimony.
- The shooting occurred, of Mr. Urrutia, in

- 1 Pinos' territory. And the bottom line is, is that that
- was not Duende's area to patrol. So, in those three
- respects, Duende had some real concerns.
- Now, we know through Junior and we know
- 5 through Sergeant Saa that a problem shooting like this
- 6 one could result in a green light.
- And, every -- Del Cid had every single
- 8 motive to shift the blame. He did not want to be
- 9 subject to a green light for an unauthorized killing of
- an MS-13 member.
- And it's crystal clear from Sergeant Saa
- that the gang does not want police attention unless it's
- absolutely necessary. It was easy to shift the blame
- onto Talibán. Why? According to Junior, he came
- recommended by the gang from Blackie, who was in jail.
- 16 The gang thought Blackie was a rat.
- According to Junior, Talibán was not a gang
- member. He was not a chequeo or a *paro*. In other
- words, Talibán was an easy guy for Del Cid to dump on in
- this case, to avoid himself being the subject of a green
- light for an unauthorized killing of an MS-13 member.
- Now, you heard testimony from Sen Genaro
- 23 Garcia -- again, that's Gatuso -- numerous stories he
- gave to the police, again, consistent with all the other
- MS-13 members that we heard from, a liar.

```
For example, he told Detective Ignacio, "I
1
    don't remember much because I was under the influence of
2
    drugs."
3
                He told Detective Ignacio, "I really
4
    couldn't tell you who shot Julio Urrutia."
5
                And keep in mind, the government tried to
6
    pretty him up by saying, "Well, he met with his pastor
7
    prior to the time he turned himself in," as a way of
8
    saying he turned over a new leaf. But these statements
    that he made to Ignacio were after he met the pastor and
10
    after he turned himself in. In other words, he
11
    continued to lie.
12
                In addition, the government lawyer said
13
    yesterday that Gatuso heard the sound of a bullet being
14
    placed in the chamber of a gun.
15
                We dispute that. We dispute that.
16
                He testified to that, but on
17
    cross-examination Ms. Amato cross-examined him about his
18
    specific location when he claims to have heard this.
19
    And what he claims to have heard is, and saw, was that
20
    supposedly Talibán went upstairs to an apartment to get
21
    the gun, supposedly, and he claims that according -- in
22
    his cross-examination, that he went inside an adjoining
23
    building and shut the door.
24
                In other words, it was impossible for him to
25
```

- hear what he claims to have heard about the bullet being chambered in a gun.
- In addition, what else do we know? We know according to Junior that Gatuso was doing everything he possibly could to get that gun back. He was desperate to get that gun back.
- And keep in mind, Del Cid again initially identified Gatuso as the shooter and the guy that removed the gun from the scene.
- Now, Gatuso was so desperate to find that gun that he was willing to have Junior commit a crime and kill somebody, and Gatuso himself was willing to kill somebody to get that back -- gun back and shut somebody up.
- Now, why would he be so desperate?
- Again, like Del Cid, Gatuso in some respects
- likewise fits the physical description of the shooter.
- 18 We know he has tattoos on his right hand. We know that
- 19 he likewise fits the size and weight of the shooter,
- five eight to five nine, 165 pounds, according to Vidal
- Jimenez within two hours of the shooting.
- What else do we know about Gatuso?
- We know that given two opportunities in this
- courtroom, right in front of you, he was not able to
- identify our client, Jesus Chavez. And he was only able

- to identify Jesus Chavez when the government showed him
- a picture up on the screen and basically led him into
- 3 identifying Jesus.
- Now, you also heard, in regard to the
- 5 government's case, testimony from Detective Ignacio, and
- 6 he interviewed three, three relevant witnesses: Duende,
- 7 Gatuso and Vidal Jimenez.
- 8 Detective Ignacio admits to a lying to
- 9 arrestees, admits to being a cop who committed a crime
- of moral turpitude, that is, involving lying, cheating
- or stealing -- and I emphasize, while he was a police
- officer.
- He admits that he tried to detect -- excuse
- me -- that he tried to direct Gatuso, in a photo spread
- of my client, as to who to pick. Ignacio admitted that.
- 16 He was trying to direct him who to pick.
- What also do we know? That Ignacio was
- present when Vidal Jimenez made his July 7th, 2014,
- selection from the photo spread. In other words, you
- see my point: Ignacio was involved in an attempt to
- steer the photo spread identification of Gatuso, and I
- submit that he was likewise involved in Vidal Jimenez's
- 23 selection on July 14th.
- Moreover, he had an opportunity to
- coordinate the stories of the three relevant witnesses.

- 1 And again, we know these witnesses met at the Alexandria
- 2 Jail, between Duende and Gatuso.
- Devil worship. You've heard a lot of
- 4 testimony in this case about devil worship. The
- 5 government wants to portray these guys, Gatuso and
- 6 Duende, as truth-tellers.
- We disagree. The fact is, they would throw
- 8 grandma and grandpa over the side of the boat if they
- 9 could to save themselves. And what they want in this
- case is to get out of jail.
- The government can make that happen, and
- they're going to make that happen, for a price. And
- that price is their testimony. I submit that the
- government is really engaged in a true pact with the
- 15 devil in this case.
- And I mean that in every sense of the word,
- because they are essentially allowing two really evil
- guys to get out of jail and back into our community. It
- may not be tomorrow, may not be next year, may not be
- five years from now, but it's happening.
- And consistent with that, what do we know
- about the government's behavior in this case?
- We know that Del Cid admitted that the
- U.S. Attorney's Office went to the Commonwealth
- 25 Attorney's Office in Prince William County, in an

```
effort -- and that was on Del Cid's behalf -- and they
1
    agreed not to prosecute Del Cid for his behavior in a
2
    crime occurring in the fall of 2013.
3
                What else do we know?
4
                We know that the U.S. Attorney's Office went
5
    to the Commonwealth Attorney's Office in Fairfax County,
6
    so that Duende would not be prosecuted for his role in
7
    the stabbing of a juvenile in Fairfax County.
8
                What else do we know?
                On his behalf, the U.S. Attorney's Office
10
    went to the Commonwealth Attorney's Office in the City
11
    of Alexandria, so that Duende would not be prosecuted
12
    for his role in another assault-stabbing of someone in
13
    the City of Alexandria.
14
                Effectively, the United States Attorney's
15
    Office has become Duende's personal lawyer in
16
    negotiating these deals on his behalf.
17
                And why are these -- they negotiating these
18
    deals?
19
                They're greasing the skids, ultimately, so
20
    that Duende can get back out of jail. There's just no
21
    doubt about that.
22
                Now, you can see how -- what I'm trying to
23
    say about how the government's judgment has become
24
```

impaired in the process of this case. They're so

25

- determined to fit a square peg into a round hole,
- insofar as Jesus is concerned, they're willing to engage
- in this type of behavior of becoming his, Duende's,
- 4 lawyer.
- Now, also something else that caught my
- 6 attention that disturbed me. Did you notice a quiet
- 7 attempt on behalf of government to blame Judge Lee?
- 8 I thought that was kind of interesting.
- 9 They asked Gatuso and Duende: It's the judge is the one
- that's going to be sentencing you, isn't it?
- As if to say: You're blood is not on our
- hands. Your blood's -- the mud is not going to get on
- us, the government lawyers.
- But what became evident, crystal clear, in
- 15 cross-examination is, Judge Lee has no power to affect
- their sentence unless the government moves for the
- 17 reduction.
- 18 Effectively, the government is going to be
- the ones that are allowing these people back into our
- 20 community. And I thought it was wrong that they tried
- to hide behind Judge Lee's robes rather than just accept
- responsibility for their behavior. Again, I submit to
- you their judgment has become impaired in the process of
- this case.
- Vidal Jimenez. Let me ask you: The

- government called him. Is he a government witness, or
- is he a defense witness?
- I think he's a defense witness in this case.
- 4 Let's go over his testimony.
- 5 We know that Vidal Jimenez was with a rival
- 6 gang, called the Latin Homies, for three years.
- According to Sergeant Saa, that gang engaged in thefts,
- 8 drug distribution, and assaults.
- I submit that he had a motive to assign
- 10 blame to what he perceived to be an MS-13 member. And
- it's not all that surprising that he picked Jesus out of
- that photo spread in July -- on July 7th of 2014.
- 13 According to Detective Buckley, they presented six
- 14 similarly looking individuals.
- And we also know that Victor Ignacio,
- Detective Ignacio, was present during that selection
- process, just as he was present during the Gatuso
- process when he tried to steer the identification of
- 19 Jesus Chavez.
- But more importantly, again, to be clear,
- 21 what do we know?
- Within two hours of the shooting, he gives
- two specific facts, Vidal Jimenez, to Detective Buckley
- says the height and weight, five eight to five nine,
- 165 pounds. Again, to be clear, that was different from

- what he testified up on that witness stand, in which he
- said that the shooter was 5 foot 11, 180 pounds. But he
- also gave a description of the tattoos, the tattoos on
- 4 both forearms of the shooter.
- Now, what do we know about Vidal Jimenez?
- There's a guy that knows tattoos. He's a
- 7 former gang member, according to him. He says: I knew
- 8 tattoos from seeing it on people in gangs. I knew
- 9 tattoos from people in middle school that I went to
- niddle school with. My friends have tattoos. I knew
- tattoos because I went to high school with people that
- 12 had tattoos on them.
- In fact, he -- Vidal mentioned he, himself,
- 14 had tattoos.
- And I asked him specifically: You've seen
- hundreds of tattoos in your lifetime.
- Yep. Yes, I have.
- And, also, he testified that he had a clear
- vision of the shooting. He was right there. And he
- 20 gave that description within two hours of the shooting;
- two hours.
- According to Detective Betts, my client
- doesn't have tattoos on his forearms; no way.
- Show them your arms.
- 25 (Defendant Chavez complies.)

```
MR. AQUINO: That's good.
1
                You know if the government could put tattoos
2
    on his arms, they would. But they can't. He doesn't
3
    have tattoos on his arms.
4
                What else do we know about Vidal Jimenez?
5
                Did you notice how he tried to kind of, sort
6
    of lie about that incident that occurred in the early
7
    morning hours of June 19th?
8
                By the way, that was the day of the
9
    shooting, right? June 19th.
10
                At around 12:15 a.m. -- I said, "Isn't it
11
    true, you were convicted" -- or you were arrested" --
12
    and ultimately he pled guilty to -- "marijuana
13
    possession?"
14
                What did he say? "No."
15
                And it was only when I showed him the actual
16
    evidence of the conviction he said, "Oh, okay. Yes."
17
                Now, the government also cites cellphone
18
    evidence and they say, "Well, Jesus's phone was in the
19
    area where the shooting occurred, the rough area."
20
                Well, that's not all that surprising. His
21
    sister lived in the Chirilagua area.
22
                From these facts, the government asks that
23
    you find Jesus guilty of being the shooter, the man who
24
    killed Julio Urrutia. We disagree.
25
```

```
Now, sometimes what you don't hear in
1
    evidence is just as important as what you do hear. For
2
    example, we know the government has no gun, no bullet,
3
    no shell casing. Detective Ignacio said they did not
4
    recover a bullet or shell casing from the scene.
5
                What did they do to look?
6
                Did they look for five seconds?
7
                Did they look for five minutes?
8
                Did they look for five hours?
9
                Who knows?
10
                In addition, according to the government --
11
    according to Duende, the gun was received from Oscar,
12
    Slick, Mickey Mouse -- that's all the same person, by
13
    the way, Oscar, Slick, Mickey Mouse, according to
14
    Duende. The gun was received from Duende -- excuse me,
15
    I'm sorry -- returned -- received from Oscar and
16
    returned to Oscar.
17
                Where is it?
18
                Have you heard from Oscar?
19
                You heard evidence that Sonia Chavez, my
20
    client's sister, shared and apartment for a period of
21
    time with Duende. We know from Detective Betts they
22
    conducted searches in this whole case.
23
                Did they conduct a search of Sonia Chavez's
24
    apartment to determine if there was any evidence that
25
```

```
might be relevant to this investigation?
1
                No. We haven't heard of any.
2
                Duende said he spent the week prior to his
3
    arrest on July 2nd in my client's mother's home.
4
                Have we heard any evidence that the police
5
    might have wanted to investigate, through a search of
6
    his mother's home? Have you heard anything about that?
7
                 No.
8
                You heard evidence from Duende that
9
    following the shooting, that my client and Duende went
10
    to Blanco Reyes's apartment and spent time there.
11
                Have you heard from Blanco?
12
                 No.
13
                Counsel said yesterday that my client
14
    ordered Sixto Solano to leave the area immediately prior
15
    to the shooting.
16
                Have you heard from Sixto Solano?
17
                 No.
18
                 In addition, we know from Detective Ignacio
19
    that my client's cellphone was seized.
                                             Did the
20
    government offer any messages in regard to the cellphone
21
    as to text messages or -- or e-mails?
22
                Wouldn't they want to know, between
23
    June 19th and July 2nd, what was going on relative to
24
    text messages and e-mails?
25
```

```
Have they offered you any information about
1
    what was said?
2
                No.
3
                Now, I've cited instances to you about
4
    witnesses you would expect to hear from or information
5
    you would expect to see.
6
                So let me pose this question to you:
7
                                                       Whose
    case is it?
8
                It's not my case. It's not my colleague,
9
    Ms. Amato's case. It's not Judge Lee's case.
                                                     This is
10
                                                    And it's
    one of maybe 15 cases that he'll try in 2016.
11
    not your case.
12
                Well, whose case is it?
13
                I'm looking right at them. This is their
14
           They're why all of us is here. And they're
15
    accusing Jesus Chavez of as serious a crime as you could
16
    possibly accuse anybody of, murder.
17
                Now, if that's the case -- and I promise you
18
    it is their case, they're the moving party in this
19
    case -- shouldn't they just not put forward evidence,
20
    but evidence of such a character as to allow you to make
21
    an informed and educated decision about a man's very
22
    future?
23
                I submit that's not too much to ask.
24
```

I submit that their behavior even goes

```
further to underline that point. Just -- their
1
    investigation appears that they're not all that
2
    interested in this case, despite what they contend.
3
                For example, if you judge people by their
4
    deeds and not their words, what is evident from their
5
    failure to call witnesses, or their lack of using
6
    investigative techniques in this case, is that they
7
    don't seem all that interested in this case or all that
8
    interested in doing justice as they profess to be.
9
                Now, the defense's evidence and testimony.
10
                You heard a lot about tattoos.
                                                 I'm not
11
    going to spend any more time on that. It's crystal
12
    clear, that issue.
13
                But you also had additional evidence,
14
    because we're not done with that tattoos -- we're not
15
    stopping there.
16
                Remember the guy named Cosmo Gonzalez,
17
    through the video? And we had -- we weren't able to get
18
    the sound right in the first attempt, but ultimately we
19
    did. He had absolutely no stake in this case, zero.
20
    And he came and told you what he saw and what he heard.
21
                Let's go over what Cosmo Gonzalez said and
22
    testified to. He got home around 11:20 the night of the
23
    shooting, 11:20 p.m. He sees a shirtless man confront
24
```

Mr. Urrutia, the decedent. An argument ensues over a

- 1 drug deal.
- Now, we know from Officer Garcia who that
- 3 shirtless man was, right? The shirtless man was
- 4 David Jimenez.
- 5 Cosmo enters his apartment. Within seconds
- 6 he hears a shot, comes back out.
- 7 And what does he see?
- David Jimenez, the shirtless man, standing
- over the decedent, Mr. Urrutia, who was on the ground.
- David runs into the woods, appears to leave something in
- the woods, and returns.
- What's even more interesting about that,
- who's David's brother? Vidal Jimenez.
- And as I mentioned earlier to you, we know
- that in the early morning hours of June 19th, he was in
- possession of marijuana. First he tried to deny it, but
- then he admitted it.
- And I submit to you the Jimenez family was
- running a marijuana business in the Chirilagua area, to
- service the poor people there, and they were unhappy
- with Mr. Urrutia, the decedent.
- Now, this is a completely, completely
- 23 different set of facts relative to the shooting and how
- it occurred. For example, you've heard testimony over
- here from Vidal Jimenez, Duende, and Gatuso, and you've

```
got testimony over here from Cosmo Gonzalez.
1
                You can't reconcile those two scenarios.
                                                            In
2
    other words, to say it a different way, sometimes you
3
    could kind of round testimony. Somebody says a shirt
4
    was black; another person says the shirt was white.
5
    Okay, the shirt becomes blackish or whitish. You can
6
    round that testimony. You can't reconcile those two
7
    stories.
8
                So, let's do this: Let's examine how the
    government makes their sausage, how they procure
10
    testimony and compare it to Cosmo Gonzalez and his
11
    testimony.
12
                What do we know?
13
                We know in this case -- you've heard
14
    testimony how the government pays people. $40,000 was a
15
    figure that's been thrown around.
16
                I haven't paid anybody.
17
                What else do we know?
18
                They give out immigration benefits like
19
    candy.
20
                Do I have the power to give out immigration
21
    benefits?
22
                No.
23
                And let me stop right there, because this
```

really bothered me, that I want to -- yesterday, the

24

- government lawyers tried to anoint Junior as a hero.
- 2 That guy is no hero, no way, no how. He was looking for
- 3 something from the government.
- A hero is someone who is selfless, who acts
- 5 in the interest of other people. That guy is no hero.
- 6 He is not selfless. He was looking for help from the
- 7 government, for immigration benefits and money. And
- 8 that's exactly what he got.
- 9 But also, what do we know that the
- government gives out?
- They give out people their freedom. They
- 12 get out of jail.
- 13 Can I do that?
- 14 **No.**
- So, I ask that you compare and contrast how
- the government makes their sausage and compare it to
- 17 Cosmo Gonzalez's testimony. He is going to get
- something from me. You know what he's going to get? A
- 19 firm handshake, if I ever see him again; a firm
- 20 handshake.
- I've been practicing law since I was 24.
- 22 I'll turn 59 this summer. And I learned a long time
- ago, sometimes that's it. That's all you got. And
- that's what Cosmo is going to get from me, a firm
- 25 handshake.

```
Now, the judge mentioned to each of you,
1
    when you were selected for the jury, how would you
2
    evaluate a person's testimony?
3
                And there's a jury instruction on the
4
    credibility of witnesses that he gave you already.
                                                         Ι
5
    ask that you consider that when you're weighing the
6
    testimony of these people -- Del Cid, Gatuso, Vidal
7
              Compare it to the testimony of Mr. Cosmo.
                                                          Big
8
    difference, big, big difference.
                Now, there hasn't been much talk in this
10
    courtroom about the decedent, Mr. Urrutia. There are
11
    certain things all of us agree on, all of us. That guy
12
    does not deserve -- he did not deserve to die. He was a
13
    young guy with a family. And the shooter of Mr. Urrutia
14
    should be held accountable for his behavior. Everyone
15
    agrees with that.
16
                But there's a second part. There's a second
17
    part that sometimes gets lost in the equation when the
18
    government gets aggressive -- and they have been
19
    aggressive in this case -- and that is, we want the
20
    right person to be held accountable, not the wrong
21
             Because that would compound the tragedy of this
22
    guy's death, Mr. Urrutia.
23
                Now to illustrate the point of aggressive
24
    government behavior, I highlight to you and remind you
25
```

- their attempt to blame Judge Lee, the behavior of
- 2 Detective Ignacio in trying to steer the selection
- 3 process during the photo spread, and the behavior of the
- 4 government lawyer in the same -- with the same witness,
- 5 Gatuso, when he was on the witness stand, that caused
- 6 Judge Lee to reprimand the government lawyer for her
- 7 behavior in the identification process.
- 8 Do you see again what I mean?
- It illustrates my point that the
- government's good judgment has been damaged in this
- case. They're so determined to fit the square peg in a
- round hole that they have acted in an aggressive,
- 13 aggressive fashion.
- Burden of proof. There's been a lot of
- 15 hoo-ha that you've heard from all the lawyers. I'm not
- going to rehash burden of proof, except for this reason:
- The government's burden is to prove their case to you by
- proof beyond a reasonable doubt.
- Now, remember when you heard from the
- 20 linguists up there? They talked about context. Got --
- context. So, let's give context on the issue of burden
- of proof and reasonable doubt.
- Let's pretend on your way home today, you
- turned your ankle on a curb and you said, "I'm going to
- sue the City of Alexandria for the damage I suffered."

```
No problem. You come into a courthouse just
1
    like this, in front of a judge just like Judge Lee, and
2
    to prove and prevail your case, you have to prove your
3
    case by a preponderance of the evidence.
    "Preponderance" means is it more probably than not that
5
    the city was negligent in some manner in failing to
6
    maintain the curbing or the sidewalk that you tripped
7
    on?
8
                To give it a math formula, at least -- at
    least 51 percent of the evidence would have to be in
10
    your favor. Again, the standard of proof in a personal
11
    injury case, by a preponderance of the evidence.
12
                Now, let's change the facts of the case.
13
    Microsoft sues Apple Computer, alleges actual fraud,
14
    actual fraud. No problem. To prevail in the case like
15
    that, they have to come into a courthouse just like
16
    this, in front of a judge just like Judge Lee, and prove
17
    their case to you by a higher standard than the
18
    preponderance, that is, by clear and convincing
19
    evidence, a higher standard.
20
                But in a criminal case, the government must
21
    prove their case to you by the highest legal standard,
22
    by proof beyond a reasonable doubt.
23
                So, that begs the question: Why do we do
24
    this? Why do we hold the government accountable to this
25
```

- 1 highest legal standard and this heavy, heavy burden that
- 2 they have?
- In the DNA of every American are two real
- 4 strains, one, a profound and deep respect for individual
- 1 liberty, like nowhere else, really. We want people to
- 6 be able to say what they want to say, associate with who
- they want to associate, worship who they want to
- 8 worship. And that's fine. That is definitely part of
- 9 our body politic.
- But there's a second aspect, a second strain
- in our DNA, which is a deep suspicion of those who wield
- 12 power in our country.
- Now you can deny it and say, "No way,
- 14 Aquino, that's just not true."
- If you do deny it, how do you explain the
- Bill of Rights -- which is not a set of rights at all?
- 17 Forget about what your high school teacher told you.
- 18 It's a set of limitations on the power of the federal
- 19 government. They cannot do this to you. They cannot do
- 20 that to you.
- So, in light of that, we've reached an
- agreement to hold the government to this heavy burden,
- 23 and that agreement runs from President Washington to
- 24 President Lincoln to President Roosevelt to President
- Reagan, to you and your families.

```
Now, you know, in a little while, in a few
1
    minutes, the government lawyer has an opportunity to get
2
    back up here, and that's consistent with the heavy
3
    burden of proof. Again, they're the moving party in
4
    this case, and that's why she gets the opportunity to
5
    address you again.
6
                If I tried to get up here and respond, Judge
7
    Lee would reprimand me, just like he remanded the
8
    government lawyer in that whole identification mess with
9
    Gatuso. So, I can't get back up here, and I won't get
10
    back up here.
11
                But if I could get back up here, I want you
12
    to keep in mind -- I know you don't know me very well --
13
    I would respond to every single thing that she says. So
14
    keep that in mind when she's addressing you again. If
15
    Aguino had the chance to get back up there, he would
16
    respond.
17
                So, to sum up, in light of the unreliable,
18
    unreliable government evidence in this case, in light of
19
    the lack of evidence, evidence you would reasonably
20
    expect to hear from in terms of people or searches, for
21
    example, or other evidence, in light of the defense
22
    issues on the tattoos, and Mr. Cosmo, and in light of
23
    the heavy burden of proof that the government has to
24
    bear, I ask that you find Jesus Chavez not guilty of
25
```

```
each of the charges.
1
                Thank you.
2
               REBUTTAL ARGUMENT BY THE GOVERNMENT
3
                MS. MARTINEZ: Let's go back to the
4
    beginning, Greñas and the closing arguments made by
5
    Greñas's attorneys.
6
                Greñas got on the stand last week and he
7
    admitted to you that he killed Lagrima, but he denied
8
    his involvement in the attempted murder and the
    conspiracy to murder Peligroso.
10
                And Greñas attorney got up yesterday and he
11
    gave a great argument. He gave a great closing argument
12
    with what he had to work with. And he tried to tell you
13
    that his client, everything that he said on the stand
14
               It was true that he killed Lagrima, but it
    was true.
15
    was also true that he was not involved in that other
16
    thing a week earlier with Peligroso.
17
                And he told you that you should believe him
18
    because he doesn't have a plea agreement, because all of
19
    the government's witnesses, they all have plea
20
    agreements, and those plea agreements give them an
21
    incentive to lie. And his client didn't have a plea
22
    agreement, and so he only had an incentive to tell the
23
    truth.
24
                I submit to you that that is not logical.
25
```

- 1 The existence or lack of existence of a plea agreement
- does not give Greñas a motivation to lie or to tell the
- з truth.
- But look at the other evidence in the case.
- 5 Let's talk about the cooperating defendants who had plea
- 6 agreements. I'm not going to argue to you that you
- should believe that they're telling the truth because
- 8 they had plea agreements.
- No. We talked at length yesterday about
- corroboration. You should believe their testimony, I
- submit to you, because it is consistent with the other
- evidence you have seen.
- So, let's look at Greñas's testimony about
- 14 his role in the Peligroso incident. That testimony is
- not corroborated by phone calls. It is not corroborated
- by the testimony of other witnesses. In fact, it is
- contradicted by both. It is contradicted by the
- 18 recordings that Drowsy was able to obtain by wearing a
- body wire and by recording phone calls, recordings in
- 20 which Greñas is involved.
- Go back and look at the transcripts.
- There's his name right there, while they're talking
- 23 about the plan. There is his name right there, while
- they're talking about the machetes. There's his name
- right there, while they're talking about the shotgun.

- There he is in the calls advocating to use the 12. His 1 testimony is not corroborated. It is contradicted. 2 And then it's contradicted again by his own 3 statements two months later in that December call that 4 we looked at yesterday, where he says, "We were going to 5 do a hit, and they caught him" -- Demente -- "with the 6 12." "We were going to do a hit." Because that's 7 exactly what it was that he was going to go do, a hit on 8 Peligroso. 9 Now the other thing that Greñas's attorney 10 said is that by getting on the stand and by testifying 11 as a member of MS-13, he faces a death sentence. Those 12 were his words, a death sentence. 13 So that's what he has argued to you, that 14 his client got up on the stand and now that's it. 15 he's going to be green lit, now he's going to be killed 16 and, I guess, what, it was a brave thing that he did? 17 No, no, absolutely not. Here is why you 18 know that's not true. Because on cross-examination, 19 when he was asked to talk about other homeboys, when he 20
- when he was asked about who sent him that gun from California, when he was asked about who sent the drugs,

was asked about Poison and El Tigre down in El Salvador,

- each time he said, "A homeboy," "I can't say," "I don't
- know," "I won't say."

- Yeah, exactly. He won't say. He won't say 1 because that would be snitching. That could get him 2 green lit. That could be a death sentence. He was not 3 willing to do that. 4 The only people he was willing to name are 5 the people whom he perceives to already be snitches. He 6 would only snitch on the snitches, because he sees that 7 as different. 8 And I submit to you that he either knows or hopes or believes that the rest of the gang sees that 10 differently, too. And so he got up on that stand and he 11 snitched on the snitches, and then he claims that no one 12 else had anything to do with it. 13 The other thing that Grenas's attorney said 14 is, you know, "Ms. Martinez, she says in her closing 15 that he's trying to exonerate all of these people, but 16 that's silly because he only knows about the first few 17 things, and then he gets himself arrested before the 18 murder of Lil Guasón." 19 No, no, no, no; that argument is silly. 20 Because what Greñas was doing on that stand was trying 21 to tell you that all of the government's witnesses, and 22 in particular the five cooperating defendants, that 23 they're all lying. 24
- Well, if you believe that they're all lying,

- then you will acquit these people sitting behind me, Not
- just the ones about whom Greñas has direct knowledge,
- 3 not just them, but the others, too, right? That would
- 4 be the logical conclusion. If you think that all of the
- 5 evidence we presented was just false, was just lies, you
- 6 would acquit them all.
- And so think of that benefit to Greñas if
- 8 these guys all get back out on the street, and he's in
- 9 jail, like Payaso, who was running the clique from
- inside. Think of the benefit to him when you think
- about what his motivations were and what he said on the
- 12 stand.
- Next, Solitario. His attorney made a number
- of arguments about why he says that his client should
- not be convicted. He seems to concede that he was
- there. He seems to concede that he was involved. But
- he says that you should not convict him. So let's look
- 18 at that.
- First of all, one of the things that
- 20 Solitario's attorney argued about was the evidence that
- 21 he claims that we didn't present. And, you've actually
- heard that argument from a number of defense attorneys,
- so let me just address that head on. I have two things
- to say about that.
- First of all, the jury instructions do not

- tell you that there's any particular kind of evidence
- that we have to present to you. You do not have to see
- 3 DNA evidence in order to convict. You do not have to
- 4 see fingerprints in order to convict. What we have to
- 5 do is prove every element of every charge beyond a
- 6 reasonable doubt.
- And I submit that we did that to you. We
- 8 can do that, per the jury instructions, per Judge Lee's
- 9 instructions, with direct or circumstantial evidence.
- 10 And we have given you quit a bit of all of that.
- There's nothing that requires us to put on a
- particular witness, nothing. There's nothing that says
- that every single person who might know something about
- every single thing that happened has to testify.
- No. We simply have to prove our case to you
- beyond a reasonable doubt, which I submit that we did.
- And, here's the second thing I have to say
- about these arguments that we didn't put on certain
- evidence, and that's this: Now, the burden is with us.
- 20 It is always with the government. It never shifts to
- the defense. And I am not trying to say that at all.
- 22 And defense counsel and the defendants, they don't have
- to do anything. That's -- that's our system. That's
- our Constitution. I believe in that. They do not have
- to put on evidence. They do not have to call witnesses.

- 1 They do not -- certainly do not have to testify. That
- is their constitutional right. It's something that we
- 3 uphold and we protect.
- That being said, they are allowed to put on
- 5 witnesses and they are allowed to put on evidence, and
- 6 they did that in this case. They called witnesses to
- 7 the stand to testify. They introduced exhibits that you
- 8 will have back in your deliberations, along with all of
- 9 the government exhibits. They are able to do that.
- And so, if there was evidence that they knew
- about that they thought was important, they were able to
- present it to you.
- So, let's go back to Solitario, and
- Solitario's defense attorney. He says that there was a
- call or calls, transcripts that should have been
- presented, that would have exonerated his client.
- Well, if there were, he could have put that
- 18 evidence in.
- Defense attorneys can call linguists. In
- fact, they did. They put a linguist on the stand, who
- listened to those recordings and talked about what was
- in those recordings and translated those recordings.
- They have the ability to do that, if that's what they
- 24 wanted to do.
- Now, as many of these lawyers said, there

```
were thousands of calls on Junior's wire. It's true, we
1
    did not bring it -- bring you all in here and have you
2
    sit here for months and months and months and look at
3
    transcripts of thousands of calls. I submit that that
4
    would have been a waste of your time --
5
                MR. CHICK: Your Honor, I'm going to object
6
    to -- can we approach?
7
                MS. AUSTIN:
                             Yes.
8
                MR. SALVATO: Yes, please.
9
                THE COURT:
                           Come to sidebar.
10
                (Thereupon, the following sidebar conference
11
    was held:)
12
                MR. CHICK: Your Honor, the government is
13
    improperly and unconscionably commenting on our failure
14
    to produce evidence in this case.
                                        It is absolutely.
15
    absolutely improper for them to do that. And I would
16
    ask that they be admonish for it and that it stop now.
17
    It's completely inappropriate for them to do that, and
18
    they know it.
19
                THE COURT: Ms. Austin.
20
                MS. AUSTIN: I would ask Your Honor to
21
    reinforce the instruction that we are not required to
22
```

present any evidence. The argument of government counsel went way over the line on this issue, and it was highly improper.

```
MS. MARTINEZ: Your Honor, I disagree.
1
    actually said very clearly that they are not required to
2
    present any evidence, they're not required to put on any
3
    testimony, and they're not required to testify.
4
    what our Constitution says. And that's -- and that's
5
    what we all here believe in.
6
                And then I added that they can, if they want
7
    to -- and they made arguments directly that there was
8
    evidence -- that they think there was evidence the
9
    government didn't put on. Well, they have that
10
    evidence.
11
                I should be allowed to respond to that.
12
    Otherwise, it's left with the implication there's
13
    evidence out there that they know about, but, hey, the
14
    government didn't put it on, so that means that -- that
15
    there's exculpatory evidence that the government hid
16
    from the jury.
17
                And if that's -- that is an improper
18
    argument, without the ability of the government to
19
    respond to that.
20
                MS. AMATO: Your Honor, excuse me --
21
    Ms. Amato -- it's improper for the government to put the
22
    burden on us. And what they're doing is, they're
23
    putting in the jury's minds that we have a burden.
24
```

And I understand she tried to gear it up, as

```
if to hide that, but the problem is, it comes to the
1
    jury that the defense should have done something, and
2
    they didn't. And that's just improper, because she is
3
    shifting the burden to us. We don't have to do
    anything. But we can argue that the government should
5
    have done things.
6
                MR. JENKINS: Your Honor, I would join in
7
    and echo Ms. Amato's comments. While I understand the
8
    government counsel feeling as though it places her in an
    unenviable position, that she has to listen to defense
10
    counsel point out about what the government did not
11
    produce, as the Court has already instructed this
12
    jury -- and I also join my fellow defense attorneys --
13
    if the Court is not inclined to grant my request for a
14
    mistrial as a consequence of the government stepping
15
    over the line, Your Honor, that what the government
16
    counsel did was improper.
17
                The defense does not have the burden of
18
    proof or production. And, even though she said what she
19
    said, prior to crossing the line she did make an
20
    argument to this jury that suggests to them that we had
21
    an obligation to produce evidence.
22
```

THE COURT: All right.

MR. SALVATO: We all join, Your Honor.

25

THE COURT: I'm sure you do.

```
Go ahead.
1
                MR. LEIVA: Not all defense counsel raised
2
    that issue in closing. And if the government believes
3
    that one or two may have invited that, she basically
4
    generalized it to everyone who is involved in this case.
5
    So that would also be a basis for our objection and our
6
    request for a mistrial as well, Your Honor.
7
                MS. MARTINEZ: May I respond to that part,
8
    Your Honor?
9
                THE COURT: I want to make sure everybody
10
    had a chance to say what they want to say.
11
                MS. MARTELL: Katherine Martell on behalf of
12
    Mr. Castillo. We also join in the motion for a
13
    mistrial. I think at this point, although government
14
    counsel, like Ms. Amato said, did try to hide the fact
15
    that she was burden shifting -- she stated the law
16
    correctly -- but after that, she -- what she did after
17
    stating the law was shift the burden onto these
18
    defendants.
19
                Specifically, she said for people who raise
20
    the issue of lack of evidence -- which is an issue that
21
    defense counsel raises in every case, the lack of
22
    evidence -- she went on to say that the defense could
23
    have put the lack of evidence in front of this jury.
24
                That is not our burden. If there is a lack
25
```

- of evidence, they are to look to the government, not to
- the defense to put that evidence on. We don't have a
- 3 burden to prove innocence here. They have the only
- 4 burden.
- 5 And I would ask, Your Honor, if you deny a
- 6 mistrial at this point, that you instruct this jury as
- to what the burden is, and that Ms. Martinez be
- 8 admonished for what she tried to do.
- 9 THE COURT: Everybody finished?
- MS. MARTINEZ: Your Honor, with respect to
- the argument Mr. Leiva raised, I was actually very
- specific in talking about Mr. Chick, in his argument,
- said that there was a call, that there was a call, and
- that that call would have exonerated his client.
- I was very specific that if that -- that he
- could have put that call on if he wanted to do that.
- 17 That is a -- that is a proper argument, I submit, Your
- 18 Honor, because it responds directly to a very specific
- argument raised by defense counsel.
- 20 With respect to what -- what Ms. Martell
- just said, my broader argument about lack of evidence,
- again, I was very clear about that, that there's no
- instruction that we have to give certain types of
- evidence.
- Those are two separate arguments that I

- made, one about not being required to put on DNA or
- fingerprint, that sort of thing; and then specifically,
- with respect to Mr. Chick's argument, about a particular
- 4 call that he says exists, that he could have put on
- 5 testimony about --
- 6 MR. CHICK: The difference is, we are
- 7 allowed --
- 8 THE COURT: One person is talking.
- 9 MS. MARTINEZ: And to be clear, Your Honor,
- I teed that up with the burden and the Constitution and
- the fact that the defense never has to put on any
- evidence. I was very clear. I spent more time on that
- part than I did on the subsequent argument.
- I do not think that a line was crossed. And
- I apologize if Your Honor does, but I do think it was a
- proper argument.
- THE COURT: Let the record reflect this
- matter is before the Court through an objection in
- 19 closing argument about whether the government somehow
- invited error by argument that the defense could have
- called witnesses, and, specifically, the argument
- addressed to Solitario's closing argument wherein
- 23 Solitario's counsel claimed to the jury that there was a
- call that could have exonerated his client.
- I made note of that in my notes. That was

- something that he said. And I think that the government
- certainly had the right to respond to it.
- I think the government set forth a preface
- 4 for the argument about the burden of proof that was more
- 5 than sufficient.
- 6 And bear in mind, throughout this entire
- 7 trial, from jury selection through opening, preliminary
- 8 instruction, through the 108 pages of instructions that
- 9 I read yesterday, this jury ha been fully informed of
- the burden of proof. And they've also been informed
- that the arguments of counsel are not evidence.
- So the motion for mistrial will be denied.
- Thank you.
- (Thereupon, the side-bar conference was
- concluded.)
- THE COURT: You may proceed.
- MS. MARTINEZ: As I was saying, defense
- counsel for Solitario told you that there is a call out
- there that you didn't get to see that somehow exculpates
- 20 his client.
- But he had the ability to put on evidence.
- He did not have the requirement to do so. That's -- all
- of those defendants have the right to not put on
- evidence. They have the right to hold the government to
- its burden, as -- and the government always has the

- burden. But, they can put on evidence. They can.
- And so, defense counsel for Solitario, he --
- 3 what he basically argued was: If you look at the
- 4 transcripts that the government did put in, yeah, that
- 5 makes my client look guilty. But, what about the one
- 6 that they didn't put?
- Well, what about that? We don't have that
- 8 in front of us. Neither party presented that alleged
- 9 evidence.
- 10 What we do have, and what you do have, is
- the evidence that was presented during trial. And that
- is the evidence that you should consider, that you must
- consider when you deliberate and when you determine
- whether, in fact, the government did meet its burden of
- proving each and every element of each and every crime
- that was charged.
- Now, the other thing that the defense
- 18 counsel for Solitario said was -- and I wrote it down --
- he said that his client was never given the opportunity
- to do the right thing.
- Really? He was never given the opportunity
- to do the right thing?
- Who was it that was supposed to give him
- that opportunity?
- He had the opportunity to do the right thing

- for quite some time. He was a *chequeo* at the time that
- 2 he murdered his friend, Lil Guasón. You know about the
- 3 gang. You know about the levels in the gang. He was a
- 4 chequeo. So before that, he must have been a paro. And
- 5 before that, he wasn't associated with the gang.
- So he had the opportunity to do the right
- 7 thing by not associating with the gang. He had the
- 8 opportunity to do the right thing by not advancing from
- 9 paro to chequeo. He had the opportunity to do the right
- thing as he learned more and more about the gang, as he
- learned what they did, who they are, what they do. He
- 12 had that opportunity.
- You all know, and I submit that they, those
- defendants, all know, what this gang is about, and that
- includes Solitario.
- You've heard countless testimony about how
- violent this gang is, how violence is the bread and
- butter of MS-13, and how the paros and the chequeos and
- the homeboys are taught that. They're taught the rules
- and they're taught about violence.
- Look at this call from Leopardo on May 8th.
- 22 At the bottom of the screen he says, "Everyone knows
- what they got themselves into, you know. And they know
- the rules and they know everything."
- Yes, exactly. When you're in the gang, you

- 1 know what the gang is. You know about the violence.
- And with respect to Solitario specifically,
- 3 it's not just that we know generally that those in the
- 4 gang know. We know that he, in particular, knew. We
- 5 know because we know that he was involved in the
- 6 December 21st shooting in Culmore.
- You heard about that from Skinny, when Lil
- 8 Poison fired a gun into a window at someone who had
- 9 disrespected the gang.
- Now, I'm not saying that Solitario was the
- shooter, not at all. No one said that. That's not what
- 12 Skinny said. But he was there. He was involved. So,
- in December of 2013, three months before he helped kill
- his friend, he was directly involved in a crime of
- violence as part of the gang.
- He also knew that the gang, that this
- 17 clique, that these defendants, murder people. He knew
- that because he knew about Lagrima. No, he wasn't there
- for the murder of Lagrima. I'm not arguing that he knew
- 20 about it in advance and that anyone involved him. He
- was a chequeo. He was still a chequeo in March of 2014,
- 22 when he killed Lil Guasón.
- But, he was a *chequeo* who was trusted enough
- within the gang, who was respected enough within the
- gang, that the clique told him what they did to Lagrima.

- 1 They told him what they did. They told him where they
- 2 did it. And they told him why they did it. And they
- 3 told him that before he was involved in the murder of
- 4 Lil Guasón. And, in fact, they took him to the grave
- 5 site, again, before the murder of Lil Guasón.
- So think about that for a minute. When he
- went into that park on March 29th, 2014, he had been
- 8 there before. He had been there to visit the grave site
- 9 of Lagrima.
- And then he goes in on March 29th, 2014, and
- what do you know? He's involved in a murder, with the
- same MO. He knew what they did to Lagrima. He knew
- that they lured him to the park on the premise of a gang
- meeting, told him they would give him a calentón, and
- then killed him instead. And that's what they did with
- 16 Lil Guasón.
- And, by the way, the other thing that you
- heard testimony about with Solitario and what he knew
- about Lagrima was that he expressed approval, that he
- said that he liked what the gang had done because
- Lagrima was a snitch.
- You know, you have evidence of Solitario's
- guilt, of the malice that he held when he helped kill
- Lil Guasón, and of the intent that he had when he did
- it. You know that from evidence before, during, and

- 1 after the murder.
- Before, we've just covered, him being
- 3 brought up within the gang, him knowing the rules, his
- 4 involvement in previous violent -- at least one previous
- 5 violent crime, and his knowledge of Lagrima. But, you
- 6 also know about what happened during, during the murder.
- 7 Okay?
- 8 And so, let's -- again, let's talk about
- 9 that. He walks into Holmes Run Park. He knows that
- 10 Holmes Run Park is where they killed Lagrima. He knows
- that Holmes Run Park is where Lagrima is buried. And he
- 12 walks in.
- And so does Lil Guasón, right? He walks
- into Holmes Run Park. You also heard that Lil Guasón
- knew what the gang did to Lagrima.
- Here's the other thing. There's evidence
- that Lil Guasón knew that he was going to be killed.
- Look at this transcript. This is Leopardo
- talking about Lil Guasón. "We talked, you know, like
- indirectly, you know, but everything was for him, you
- 21 know what would happen if you fuck a homeboy's
- 22 girlfriend. You know what would happen to you."
- So then Junior is asking, "Well, the son of
- a bitch should have realized, then."
- And Leopardo responds at the bottom of the

```
screen, "No man, the dude already knew. The dude
1
    already knew that -- that we were going to hit him.
2
    dude already knew that we were going to roll him up."
3
                Yeah, that's what he's saying. He's saying
4
    that Lil Guasón knew that he had broken so many rules,
5
    that he had slept with Skinny's girlfriend, that he
6
    wasn't paying back the money, that he was disrespecting
7
    the homeboys, the clique, and the gang. And so he knew
8
    what the consequences of that would be.
                And Solitario, Lil Guasón's friend, who was
10
    also a chequeo, who was in the same status as Lil
11
    Guasón, I submit to you that you can conclude that he
12
    knew as well.
13
                If he was really his best friend, you don't
14
    think he knew that Lil Guasón was in trouble with the
15
    gang?
16
                He's with the gang. You don't think he knew
17
    that Lil Guasón was breaking these rules?
18
                Now, as I told you yesterday, you've heard
19
    testimony in both directions about whether or not
20
    Solitario was actually told and involved in the planning
21
    of the murder. You've heard both sets of testimony.
22
                I submit to you, that's irrelevant.
23
    not relevant whether or not he was at some meeting where
24
```

they specifically planned what they were going to do

- with Lil Guasón. You can disregard that. You don't
- need premeditation in order to convict; first or second
- degree murder, either one, either one results in guilt,
- and this was certainly second degree murder.
- Now, the other thing -- well, let's -- and
- 6 let's talk about why it's second degree murder. Second
- 7 degree murder requires malice, and, Solitario's attorney
- 8 spent a long time talking to you about what malice is
- 9 and why his client didn't have malice.
- But if you look at the jury instructions,
- one of the things that the instructions say is that you
- can infer malice from the use of a deadly weapon.
- A knife is a deadly weapon. And Solitario's
- attorney basically admitted that his client did stab Lil
- 15 Guasón. Well, that's not evidence, but we have
- evidence, we have lots of witnesses and we have lots of
- calls, that say just that. Solitario stabbed Lil
- 18 Guasón. He used a deadly weapon. You can infer malice
- 19 just from that.
- So -- so, Solitario's attorney tells you:
- But he panicked. He panicked.
- Right? That's the version. He panicked.
- He panicked, and so he didn't want to do it. He
- panicked, but he was threatened. He panicked, and so
- then he didn't have the requisite intent. He didn't

- 1 have malice.
- I submit to you that is not actually what
- 3 the evidence shows. It's not. It's not exactly what
- 4 the witnesses testified to, and it's not exactly what
- 5 the calls say.
- 6 Here's one call about that, all right? So
- 7 this is two days, just two days, after the murder, and
- 8 here's what Lil Poison is saying about Solitario: "That
- 9 -- that he proved to us who he really is. Our recruit
- 10 proved it to us."
- Yeah, he proved it. He proved that he was a
- solid recruit. He proved that he could participate in a
- murder.
- And what Pesadilla said: "The homeboy is
- already wet, you know." Again, two days after the
- murder. And you heard testimony from Junior about what
- that means, "already wet." "Already wet" means he's
- already committed a murder, which he had done two days
- 19 **ago.**
- So, like I said, you have evidence from
- before, during and after. Right? And we're getting
- close to the after part. This is two days after.
- And here is the thing that you know, is that
- afterwards, the gang still included Solitario in
- activities. He was still in with the homeboys. In the

- calls with Junior, they're talking about how Solitario
- is ready to be jumped in because of that murder, and
- 3 he's just waiting for Friday the 13th.
- If he had actually panicked, if he had said,
- 5 "No, I don't want to," if he had chickened out, he
- 6 wouldn't still be in with the gang.
- And let's go back to that, he didn't have an
- 8 opportunity to do the right thing. Sure he did, and he
- 9 didn't. Right?
- I mean, not only did he not walk away from
- the murder that night, he didn't walk away from the gang
- 12 afterwards. He could have done that. He could have
- been like Drowsy. But he didn't. He stayed with the
- gang. He continued selling drugs for the gang.
- You heard Detective Betts. He stopped him
- sometime after the murder. He still had drugs on him.
- He was still hanging out with the gang members.
- You know that what he did after the murder
- was he continued hanging out in Culmore, continued
- selling drugs. And then when it got too hot, he fled to
- 21 Kansas City with the people whom his attorney says were
- threatening him. Really?
- With Slow, with Leopardo, with Lil Poison,
- he goes to Kansas City to, as Slow told you, both get
- 25 away from the police and to help build up their clique

```
down in Kansas City.
```

- So let's get back to this whole "he
- 3 panicked" argument. Because you actually have seen some
- evidence of someone, of a *chequeo*, initially panicking
- 5 during a murder. Solitario was the *chequeo* at the Lil
- 6 Guasón murder. Slow was the *chequeo* at the Lagrima
- 7 murder.
- 8 And here's what Greñas says about Slow at
- 9 the Lagrima murder. Junior says at the top there, "None
- of them panicked?"
- And then Greñas says, "Fuck, he panicked.
- He panicked. And I told him, 'Oh, today you leave, son
- of a bitch. From here, nothing has to come out.' He
- grabbed the knife from me, homie, and started to cut him
- up all over, without mercy."
- And again, at the bottom of the screen, you
- can see in the middle there, Junior helps clarify who
- we're talking about, Slow. And then at the bottom of
- the screen, "Respect for that son of a bitch, because
- that son of a bitch has turned out to be solid for us.
- He grabs the knife without mercy, too, son of a bitch."
- So, in other words, Slow, the *chequeo*, who's
- 23 at this murder in order to get wet, in order to prove
- that he can be a homeboy, when the murder starts, he
- panics. He initially panics. But then he grabs the

- 1 knife and he stabs him without mercy.
- And after that murder, he gets to become a
- 3 homeboy and he stays with the gang, just like Solitario
- 4 did, and the gang continues to respect and trust him,
- 5 just like they did with Solitario; the difference being,
- of course, that then Solitario got arrested. Slow, it
- took longer to arrest him, and so, after getting wet,
- 8 after being jumped in, after his initial panic with the
- 9 Lagrima murder, he went on to kill someone else.
- And I submit to you that, if anything, is
- 11 how -- is how Solitario's behavior that night would best
- 12 be described.
- The fact that he initially panicked, if that
- is indeed what happened, that he initially panicked
- while watching someone be killed, well, okay, I guess
- that makes him better than, I don't know, Leopardo, who
- really, really seemed to enjoy it. But it doesn't make
- 18 him not guilty.
- Because, when he then choose to participate,
- when he choose to participate, when he used a deadly
- weapon on his friend, that was murder. That was aiding
- 22 and abetting the murder of Lil Guasón.
- And so, like I said, afterwards, for a
- couple months afterwards, he continues hanging out with
- the gang, continues selling drugs, goes down to Kansas

- 1 City with his buddies to flee. And on that point, about
- the going to Kansas City to flee, you don't have to flee
- from the police in order to be guilty, right?
- I mean, you've heard some argument about
- 5 that, that Pesadilla didn't flee. Well, he kind of did.
- 6 He moved to Gaithersburg, Maryland. But you also heard,
- 7 for example, that Lil Payaso didn't leave the area.
- 8 Well, I'll just remind you, neither did
- 9 Duende. And I don't think anyone in this room is saying
- that Duende wasn't guilty. Duende was certainly guilty.
- He also didn't leave the area. So fleeing from the
- police, yes, that can be evidence of guilt. And I
- submit that for Solitario, it was. But, you don't have
- to flee in order to be guilty, just like Duende.
- All right. Let's talk about some of the
- arguments that multiple defense counsel made with
- respect to Count 6, the murder of Lil Guasón. Many of
- them made this argument that even if there was a murder,
- it wasn't a murder in aid of racketeering. There was no
- 20 purpose that was required.
- I submit to you that that argument is
- ridiculous in light of the evidence. Look at what these
- defendants said about why they killed Lil Guasón.
- Leopardo, "The one that steals from the
- clique, homeboy, you know, the one that breaks the

```
1 rules, you know, of the hood, you know, that dude is
```

- trash. For stealing from the clique, you know, for
- 3 bullshitting, for bullshitting all the homeboys and
- 4 making fools of all the homeboys, you know."
- 5 That's why they killed Lil Guasón. That is
- 6 absolutely in relation to the gang. It's directly in
- 7 relation to the gang.
- In fact, here's what Pesadilla says, "The
- 9 Mara's train has taken you, you son of a bitch, for
- being a fool."
- The Mara, the Mara has taken you; not, you
- know, we did it out of some personal vengeance or
- because -- and how does that make sense, anyway?
- What's the, it's a personal crime?
- Why, because Skinny was mad at him over
- something, or there was some personal money involved?
- Well, then, why were Leopardo and Pesadilla
- involved? There was nothing alleged there that they had
- some personal animus against Lil Guasón. No. They were
- involved because this was a gang hit. This was a gang
- 21 murder.
- Now, you also heard a lot of argument about
- 23 how there was all this contradiction about who cut off
- Lil Guasón's head, and somehow that means that the
- government witnesses aren't believable or aren't

```
credible.
1
                But -- and I'm sorry to have to talk about
2
    that, because it's disgusting, but we have to talk about
3
         Okay? They did cut off his head. You know that
4
    they cut off his head, just like they said in the calls,
5
    because when the FBI found that body, his head was
6
    underneath him. It was severed from his body.
7
                And you also know what weapons were used
8
    during that murder. It was knives. You know that
9
    because that's what the people who were there told you.
10
    But you also know that because that's what the forensic
11
    evidence, the examination of the body, showed.
                                                     It was
12
    knives that killed him. Right?
13
                So, it wasn't a guillotine. Think about how
14
    his head was chopped off. It wasn't one chop and it's
15
           I'm sorry, I'm sorry to have to talk about it,
16
    but think about it. It was not one chop. There wasn't
17
    even a machete involved in that murder. It was not one
18
    chop and the head is off. It was stabbing, repeatedly,
19
    in the back of the neck and in the side of the neck.
20
                Look at the autopsy report. Look at Dr.
21
    Hunt's report. Recall the testimony of those doctors.
22
    Recall when Dr. Hunt said that the vertebrae way at the
23
    top, way at the base of the skull, was so damaged that
24
```

someone had to have been shoving a knife way up in there

- in order to cause that damage.
- Lil Guasón's head was cut off over a period
- 3 of time with multiple stab wounds.
- So, I submit to you that when people say
- 5 this person or this person helped cut off the head,
- 6 yeah, that makes sense. That makes a lot of sense,
- because it probably took multiple people to sever his
- 8 head from his helpless body. And every single one of
- 9 the people who were there for that murder committed
- 10 murder or aided and abetted murder.
- And you've heard all these arguments about
- 12 how, you know, if they were just there, then they're not
- guilty. Or if they -- I mean, really, this argument was
- made: If they cut off his head after he was dead, then
- 15 they're not guilty.
- Well, I have good news for you. The law
- doesn't have that kind of loophole. I hope that you are
- glad to hear that it's not a defense to say, "Hey, yeah,
- I showed up for this murder, and I just stood and
- watched. I watched while the murder happened. And then
- I waited. And then when I was, you know, pretty
- confident that he was dead, then I helped cut his head
- off. But guess what. I'm not guilty. That makes me
- 24 not guilty."
- No, no, no, no, that's not what the law

That is absolutely not what the law says. savs. 1 Each of these defendants, the four who were 2 charged with murdering Lil Guasón, as well as those who 3 are charged with the other crimes, are charged with 4 either committing the act itself or aiding and abetting, 5 And either one results in guilt. 6 So, let's talk about what aiding and 7 abetting is. Now, they're -- defense attorneys are 8 right, mere presence isn't sufficient, and that is what the jury instructions say. But if you look at the 10 evidence, there is no evidence that any of these were --11 any of these defendants were merely present for the 12 crime. 13 They all participated, all of them; and 14 that's aiding and abetting. Stabbing is aiding and 15 abetting. Helping dig the hole is aiding and abetting. 16 Encouraging is aiding and abetting. Any sort of 17 involvement with that murder is aiding and abetting. 18 And, I submit to you that the evidence is abundantly 19 clear that all four of them, at a bare minimum, aided 20 and abetted that murder. 21 All right. Next, Talibán. His attorney 22 just argued just before me, and he argued that we had 23 contradictory evidence, and that it doesn't make any 24

sense and that we didn't prove that Jesus Alejandro

- 1 Chavez, Talibán, committed that murder.
- I submit to you that, no, in fact, we did.
- 3 So let's talk about what the defense attorney said about
- 4 why he thinks the evidence is confusing.
- 5 Well, he basically prepared two different
- 6 theories of the murder to you. First, that either
- Duende or Gatuso was the actual shooter; and second,
- 8 that David Jimenez was the shooter.
- 9 Let's deal with that second argument first,
- because it's the most ridiculous. Really? David
- 11 Jimenez was the shooter?
- Well, if David Jimenez was the shooter, that
- exculpates Duende and Gatuso, right? They're not guilty
- of aiding and abetting the murder of Julio Urrutia if,
- in fact, Julio Urrutia was shot and killed by David over
- some drug deal gone wrong.
- Why in the world would Duende and Gatuso
- plead guilty, then?
- That theory makes no sense. And one of the
- things that you get to do when you evaluate the evidence
- is you get to use your common sense. You should use
- your common sense. That argument makes no sense.
- There's no support for it.
- What did he cite? He cited the testimony
- that you saw in the videotape deposition of someone who

- was inside, heard, but didn't see the shot, so didn't
- see who shot, but did see David Jimenez before and
- з after.
- Well, yeah, sure, David was there. We know
- 5 that. We know that from Vidal. We know that from the
- 6 detectives who reported to the scene. David was there.
- 7 David was bending over the victim and trying to help,
- 8 Both when Vidal got back and when the detectives got to
- 9 the scene. David was not the shooter.
- And you heard something about maybe he went
- and put something in the woods. Okay, sure. Yeah, I
- mean, you heard some information about David being
- involved in drug deals. You also heard information
- about David's little brother involved in a drug deal.
- In fact, that's why they chased him that night.
- 16 Remember?
- When the four of them -- Talibán, Duende,
- 18 Gatuso and Sixto -- chased those two kids, it was
- because they thought they saw two kids, including David
- and Vidal's younger brother, engaging in a drug
- transaction that wasn't permitted in MS territory.
- So, I don't know, did David go hide
- something in the woods? Maybe. Maybe it was drugs.
- But that's irrelevant to the question of who actually
- shot the victim. Because you know by your common sense

- it wasn't David. If it had been David, he wouldn't have
- 2 stuck around. And if it had been David, Duende and
- 3 Gatuso would not have pled guilty to aiding and abetting
- 4 that murder, right?
- 5 So let's look at the next theory, the theory
- 6 that it was either Duende or Gatuso. And so, defense
- 7 counsel starts talking about tattoos.
- 8 And I think that's ironic. I think it's
- 9 ironic that the defense counsel spent so much time
- talking about tattoos -- well, some tattoos, arm
- tattoos -- when he skipped his client's own tattoos.
- Some of the most incriminating evidence
- against his client -- this guy (indicating), by the
- way -- is this: The same slide that I showed you
- yesterday, that shows pictures of Jesus Chavez's
- tattoos, and excerpts from a transcript where Duende
- tells Junior, two weeks after the murder, who did the
- murder by describing his tattoos. Right? He describes
- the seal on his stomach and he describes the writing,
- "Sorry Mother for your tears," in Spanish across his
- chest.
- And Duende is not the only one who describes
- these tattoos. Gatuso, on the stand, when he testified,
- when he was asked if he remembers Talibán having any
- tattoos, he described the seal on his stomach, as well.

```
Defense counsel made much of the fact that
1
    Gatuso was not able to identify his client in court.
2
    Well, first of all, this picture, this comes from the
3
    lineup that Vidal did. This is the picture that Vidal
4
    identified as the shooter, and this picture is of Jesus
5
    Alejandro Chavez. This is what Vidal was able to
6
    recognize as the person who shot Julio Urrutia that
7
    night.
8
                So, take a look at what he looked like then
    and take a look at what he looks like now.
                                                 It's been
10
    two years. Gatuso spent eight days with him.
                                                    But you
11
    know from testimony -- in fact, testimony that was
12
    brought out on cross-examination -- that Gatuso was able
13
    to pick Talibán, Chavez's photo from a lineup that
14
    night, and he was able to tell you about Talibán's
15
    tattoos, and he was able to recognize him from a
16
    photograph that we showed as well.
17
                There's no question that Gatuso and Duende,
18
    in their testimony, were talking about the same person.
19
    There's no question that Duende, in that call with
20
    Junior, was talking about the same person.
21
                So, let's talk about the series of
22
    coincidences that would have had to have happened for
23
    Chavez to not be the shooter. Here are all the things
24
    that you would have to believe to believe that he's not
25
```

```
the shooter, right?
```

- So, you have to believe that Duende is lying
- in that call on June 27th when he says that the
- 4 shooter -- that people involved were him and Gatuso and
- 5 Talibán, and that Talibán was the shooter. You have to
- 6 believe that Duende was lying then, 13 days after the
- 7 shooting.
- But why would you believe that?
- 9 What motivation did Duende possibly have to
- 10 lie at that point?
- Now, defense counsel says: Well, because he
- thought he was going to get in trouble with the gang.
- I submit that's ridiculous. All the
- testimony you've heard shows that you actually rise up
- in the gang, you gain respect, you gain status, when you
- 16 kill someone. You don't get in trouble.
- Now he says, well, but it wasn't authorized
- or it wasn't approved or it wasn't sanctioned in
- 19 advance.
- That doesn't mean that you don't gain
- respect in the gang when you do something like that.
- Look what Duende said in that call. "Remember, when one
- gets an opportunity like that, dog, you know, hey,
- you're not going to let it pass."
- And that's exactly right. Talibán did not

```
1 let that opportunity pass.
```

- So, you would have to believe that Duende
- 3 was lying in that call.
- Also, you would have to believe that when
- 5 he's lying, he came up with a particular person to lie
- 6 about, right? Because he's clearly talking about Jesus
- 7 Chavez. He describes his tattoos. There's no question
- 8 that's the person he's talking about. Right?
- And it's also clear that that's who Gatuso
- is talking about, the guy who just got out of jail.
- Duende and Gatuso told you that. And he did. He had
- been out of jail, out of prison, for eight days before
- he shot Julio Urrutia; eight days, and he shoots someone
- point blank in the face.
- Okay. So, Duende -- so here's the theory, I
- guess, that Duende is lying about who it was. He's
- thinking about this particular person, and, oh, I don't
- 18 know, coincidentally that particularly person's phone
- was in the area that night, as you know from the cell
- site analysis, and that particular person, Jesus Chavez,
- was also who Duende was with when he's arrested on
- July 2nd, right? You heard testimony about that.
- So, Duende tells you, he looked at -- you
- listened to the calls, and he says: Yeah, I had those
- calls. Yeah, I was with Talibán, and I was with Lala at

```
that -- at their mom's house up on Duke Street.
1
                Yes, that is where he was. You know that
2
    that's true because that's where the police found him.
3
                You would also have to believe, in addition
4
    to Duende making up this whole lie, that Gatuso came up
5
    with the same lie.
6
                Now, Gatuso didn't turn himself in until
7
             It was more than a month later. And yet
    August.
8
    somehow he has the exact same story as Duende?
                Now, they didn't point the finger at each
10
    other, right? Duende didn't say, "Gatuso did it."
11
    Gatuso, "Duende did it." No, no. They both -- at the
12
    end of the day they both say Talibán say it. They both
13
    say that this guy did it, this guy, whom Vidal Jimenez
14
    also said did it.
15
                So, that would be another coincidence that
16
    you would have to believe, that somehow Vidal manages to
17
    pick this photo, this photo, this person, the same
18
    person as the cooperating witnesses said.
                                                Duende said
19
    it two weeks before -- after the murder. Gatuso said it
20
    in August. Vidal picked that photo. The evidence all
21
    points to Talibán, Jesus Chavez. His phone was in the
22
    area. And he was with Duende on July 2nd when he and
23
```

Now, I've talked about a lot of Duende just

Duende were arrested.

- now and a little bit about Gatuso, but I want to talk,
- 2 generally speaking, about the cooperating defendants
- 3 that you've heard from, because you've heard a lot of
- argument about why you shouldn't believe them, and
- 5 you've heard a lot of argument about how dirty the
- 6 government is for making deals with murderers like this,
- 7 and I want to address that head on.
- Because, here's the thing: They committed
- 9 these murders, two of them, in a dark park at night,
- with only gang members there. And then the shooting was
- 11 random and it was quick.
- The witnesses to these crimes, and to the
- Peligroso crime as well, the witnesses are gang members.
- 14 They are. They're gang members. They're criminals.
- They're murderers; yes, absolutely. We put multiple
- murderers on the stand. Yes.
- We made deals with multiple murderers, yes.
- We entered into plea agreements with multiple murderers,
- 19 **yes.**
- 20 What would you have us do?
- Would you have believed the evidence if none
- of those witnesses testified?
- If all you had was the calls, would it be
- enough?
- Or would you be forced to acquit some or all

```
of these defendants?
1
                So what's better? What's better?
2
                Defense counsel talks about us putting some
3
    of these murderers back on the street after they serve
4
    significant sentences.
5
                Yeah, I get that. I understand that that's
6
    distasteful. But what is more distasteful, I submit, is
7
    letting someone who committed one of these crimes be
8
    found not guilty when they are, in fact, guilty.
                In order for you to find these defendants
10
    guilty, I, Mr. Tobler, the government, has to prove to
11
    you beyond a reasonable doubt that they did it. And
12
    that requires witnesses. It just does.
13
                And the witnesses that we had, the witnesses
14
    who existed, they were people engaged in these crimes.
15
    Because with the exception of the murder of Julio
16
    Urrutia, on which there were actually innocent
17
    bystanders like Vidal Jimenez, who you heard from, with
18
    that exception, there weren't those kinds of witnesses.
19
    There was not that kind of witness to the murder of
20
    Lagrima or the murder of Lil Guasón. And so, that's who
21
    we had.
22
                All right. So, if defense counsel also
23
    says: Well, actually, you know, if you think about it,
24
```

probably these cooperating witnesses all got together

- and read the indictment together and talked about it,
- and that's how they came up with their stories that are
- з **so similar**.
- Because they are, really, quite similar.
- 5 mean, there's a lot of details that are different, but
- 6 not the big ones, not the who, what, when, where, why
- and how. Those match: who, what, when, where, why, and
- 8 how.
- So, as -- as defense counsel has invited you
- to do, go ahead and read the indictment. Please. See
- if it says all of the who, what, when, why and how,
- because it does not.
- Lil Evil is not mentioned in that
- indictment. Who severed Lil Guasón's head is not
- mentioned in the indictment. There are a lot of details
- that were testified to by witness after witness after
- witness that appear nowhere in that indictment. They
- didn't learn those details from the indictment. They
- learned those details from their own experience, because
- they participated in this crime.
- Defense counsel said that I want you to
- believe that there's no chance that those witnesses
- 23 would lie.
- No, I don't want you to believe that, that
- there's no chance that they would lie? They're

```
They're criminals.
    murderers.
1
                Is there a chance that they would? Sure.
2
                What I want you -- what I am asking you to
3
    believe, because I think you should believe it's true,
4
    is that what they testified to was true; not that there
5
    wasn't some chance that they could lie, not that they
6
    didn't ever lie in their life, not that they don't have
7
    motivations to lie; but that what they actually
8
    testified to here in front of you, that that was true.
                And you know that it was true. It was true
10
    because it's corroborated again and again and again.
11
    They corroborated each other. They didn't get it from
12
    the indictment. But, most importantly of all, these
13
    calls corroborate it.
14
                And defense counsel, for the most part,
15
    ignored that. Right? They talked about the bragging
16
    and the exaggerating in their closing arguments, but the
17
    calls do a lot more than that.
18
                Yeah, there are a lot of calls where
19
    Leopardo brags about his involvement, where Pesadilla
20
    brags about his involvement, or Greñas brags about his
21
    involvement. Yes, yes, those exist, and I submit to you
22
    that they're bragging about things that they actually
23
    did.
```

But there are also calls where one or more 25

```
of these defendants, or the cooperators, named the other
1
    people involved. Right? That's why that's convincing.
2
                I mean, the most convincing of all is that
3
    call from Lil Slow, Lil Slow, right, and Junior says,
4
    "Hey, buddy, can you tell me everyone who was there?"
5
                And Lil Slow, he obliges, right? And he
6
           Here's the people who were there when we killed
7
              Here's the people who were there when we
    Lagrima.
8
    reburied Lagrima -- Pesadilla, right? Here's the people
9
    who were there when we killed Lil Guasón.
10
                How is it that he magically, in May of 2014,
11
    before the indictment, before anyone is arrested, when
12
    he's talking to Junior, how is it that he gets those
13
    names right unless it's true?
14
                And the same thing goes for so many others.
15
    Lil Payaso, on the murder of Lagrima.
                                            In addition to
16
    his own admissions in the calls, Slow names him.
                                                       That's
17
    Government's Exhibit 19A-1, pages one to three.
18
                Pesadilla, the reburial of Lagrima.
19
    addition to his own admission, Slow names him, same
20
    exhibit, 19A-1, page one.
21
                Leopardo, also in a call talks about
22
    Pesadilla's involvement in the reburial; Government's
23
    Exhibit 14A-1, page nine; and again, 16A-1, page five.
24
```

Lil Payaso, with respect to the Lil Guasón

- murder, Slow again, 19A-1, page 5 to 6.
- But also Pesadilla says that Lil Payaso is
- involved in the murder of Lil Guasón, in Government
- 4 Exhibit 10A-1, at page nine.
- 5 Pesadilla's role in the murder of Lil
- 6 Guasón, in addition to his admission, Slow says it,
- 7 again, 19A-1, page five to six.
- 8 Leopardo also says that Pesadilla was
- 9 involved in the murder of Lil Guasón; Government
- 10 Exhibit 18A-1, page seven.
- And Lil Payaso also says that Pesadilla was
- involved in the murder of Lil Guasón; Government
- 13 Exhibit 23A-1, page 30.
- Leopardo's role in the murder of Lil Guasón;
- in addition to his many, many admissions, Slow says it,
- 16 19A-1, page six, as does Lil Payaso; 23A-1, page 30.
- Solitario, no recorded admissions, just the
- testimony about what else he said.
- But Pesadilla says Solitario is involved.
- 20 Government Exhibit 10A-1, page eight.
- Lil Poison says that Solitario is involved.
- 22 Government's Exhibit 11A-1, page ten.
- And of course, Slow, 19A-1, page six.
- And then Talibán, you saw those calls, too,
- right? The July -- or the June 27th call and the

- June 29th call. Duende says that Talibán is involved.
- 2 Talibán admits his own involvement when he says that
- 3 they seen his photo, the shooting.
- The calls aren't just bragging. They aren't
- 5 just exaggerating. And the witnesses who testified,
- 6 they weren't bragging or exaggerating, either. The
- 7 calls tell the truth and those witnesses told the truth,
- 8 And it all corroborates itself. It all comes back to
- 9 the same list of participants for each of these four
- 10 events.
- Now, there's a lot said about Duende. Let's
- talk about Duende for a minute and think about that.
- Yeah, Duende, multiple murderer, bad dude; absolutely, I
- completely agree with that.
- But man, talk about corroboration. He --
- his testimony was corroborated again and again and
- again, because, yeah, he was involved in everything --
- which makes him really bad, but he was involved in
- everything, which means he had testimony about
- everything, testimony that was corroborated.
- He talks about the attempted murder. That's
- corroborated by Demente and by Drowsy. He talks about
- the murder of Lagrima. That's corroborated by Slow and
- 24 Skinny. He talks about the -- the reburial of Lagrima,
- corroborated by Slow and Skinny. He talks about the

- 1 murder of Lil Guasón, corroborated by Slow, and the
- 2 murder of Julio Urrutia, corroborated by Gatuso and
- 3 corroborated, again, in all of these calls.
- Yeah, he's a bad dude. But, you know, one
- of the things that defense counsel -- and they're really
- 6 stretching when they're trying to talk about how bad he
- is, because they want to tell you he is so bad he tried
- 8 to shoot his own mom.
- He was nine years old. He was nine years
- old; kicked out of the house because his mom liked his
- other brother better, and at nine, yeah, at nine, he
- 12 fired a gun at his mom.
- I'm not going to defend him. I'm not going
- to say he's not a bad dude. But really? Really?
- 15 That's the best thing they can come after Duende on?
- At least Duende accepted responsibility. At
- least he told you about what it is that he did.
- You've heard weeks of evidence in this case.
- 19 You've heard witnesses. You've seen pictures of the
- bodies. You've heard experts and you've seen these
- calls. And I submit to you that this evidence, this
- wealth of evidence, proves to you that we proved to you,
- that I proved to you, beyond a reasonable doubt that
- each and every one of these defendants sitting behind me
- is guilty.

```
And now it's your turn. It's your turn to
1
    go discuss and to go deliberate and to decide.
2
    asking you to do justice. Do justice for the victims.
3
    Do justice for all of us, for our community, and render
4
    the only just verdict in light of all of the evidence, a
5
    verdict of guilty on every single count.
6
                Thank you, Your Honor.
7
                THE COURT:
                            Thank you.
8
              FURTHER JURY INSTRUCTIONS BY THE COURT
9
                THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, you've
10
    heard all the evidence you're going to hear in
11
    connection with this case, and now I want to acknowledge
12
    the Sixth Amendment to the United States Constitution,
13
    which I think I told you about at the beginning of the
14
    trial, which says that in all criminal prosecutions, the
15
    accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public
16
    trial by an impartial jury, the right to be informed of
17
    the nature and cause of the accusation, to be confronted
18
    with the witnesses against him, and to have compulsory
19
    process of obtaining witnesses in his favor, and have
20
    the assistance of counsel in his defense.
21
                This trial has embodied that. And only in
22
    America can any voter be summoned to court to sit in
23
    judgment on their fellow human beings and to apply the
24
    law as given to you by the Court. Only in America can
25
```

```
you, from any walk of life, be summoned for jury duty.
1
                Jury duty brings home to you the vital role
2
    that you play in the administration of justice, fairness
3
    in following the law.
4
                In this case, I selected jurors who were
5
    fully engaged, who have been fully alert and have paid
6
    attention to all the evidence and have taken copious
7
    notes. And I have also selected jurors whose
8
    responsibility it was to be fully engaged and to
9
    participate in the trial in case we suffered the
10
    unfortunate incident of a juror becoming incapacitated
11
    or a juror, because of whose family member had some
12
    tragedy or emergency, was called away from jury duty.
13
    And those jurors are called alternate jurors.
14
                Alternate jurors play a very vital role, and
15
    they stand ready to step in to ensure that we have a
16
    fair trial. And even now, alternate jurors stand in at
17
    the ready should any of our jurors become incapacitated
18
    during deliberations.
19
                So, first, I want to knowledge my alternate
20
    jurors and say to each of you that you have, still, a
21
    vital role to play as this trial continues.
22
    instructions I have given you throughout the trial and
23
    that I will reiterate now remain with you, and that is
24
    that you not discuss the case nor permit the case to be
25
```

- discussed in your presence, that you don't do any
- 2 posting on social media, that you don't do any reading
- 3 or any media reports that might be made of this case,
- 4 that you not visit any of the areas locations mentioned
- in the trial, and that you leave your notes in the jury
- 6 deliberation room, where they will be separated from the
- 7 jury room from the other jurors.
- 8 The reason for this is because if, during
- our deliberations, it becomes necessary to insert you
- into the deliberations, you must remain at the ready.
- Your role remains vital. And you will hear
- from us in two ways: one, to ask you to come back to
- court, if necessary; and two, to report to you the final
- judgment reached in this case.
- So, I would like to acknowledge my alternate
- jurors.
- You can help check and double-check.
- 18 Is that right? Okay, thank you.
- Ms. Ann Ward. Here is the juror
- 20 appreciation certificate. Remember, your service is not
- 21 done. Thank you very much. Go out with Mr. Toliver --
- 22 wait. You can go together.
- Mr. Daniel Freeze. Mr. Freeze, I appreciate
- your service. It's not done yet. Maintain my
- instructions. Here is your certificate.

```
Mr. Thomas Clines. Thank you for your
1
    service; not done yet. Thank you.
2
                Ms. Terri Ann Foster. Ms. Foster, again, we
3
    appreciate your service. Thank you.
4
                Ms. Lisa Gameos. Your work is not done.
5
    Thank you so much.
6
                Mr. William Robert Mason. Thank you for
7
    your service. It's not over yet.
8
                 (Discussion off the record with alternates.)
                THE COURT: You may be seated.
10
                MS. AUSTIN:
                             Your Honor?
11
                THE COURT: Yes.
12
                MS. AUSTIN: May we approach while we're
13
    waiting for --
14
                THE COURT:
                            Yes.
15
                MS. AUSTIN: Thank you.
16
                 (Thereupon, the following side-bar
17
    conference was had:)
18
                MS. AUSTIN: Your Honor, I would like to
19
    renew our objection to counsel for the government's
20
    argument during her closing; again, what she stated
21
    about, the defendant should have presented evidence if
22
    they had evidence.
23
                And then later on in her argument --
24
                MR. ZIMMERMAN: Right at the head.
25
```

```
MS. AUSTIN: -- she said Jose Del Cid. at
1
    least he took responsibility and came in here and told
2
    you what he did.
3
                So, it's one more statement by the
4
    government about a defendant who didn't present any
5
    evidence or didn't take the stand in his own behalf, and
6
    it is improper. It's burden shifting.
7
                And I don't care what it's prefaced with,
8
    the statements were made, and they are improper, and
9
    it's grounds for a mistrial.
10
                I'm sure the Court is not inclined to grant
11
    it at this point. But I would ask the Court to
12
    reinstruct the jury that no matter what counsel for the
13
    government might have said in her closing, a defendant
14
    is not required to present any evidence. And the fact
15
    that they didn't cannot be used against him. And he's
16
    not required to take the stand or testify on his own
17
    behalf, and that factor cannot be held against him.
18
                I know the Court has instructed that
19
    already, but because of that out-of-line arguments by
20
    government's counsel, it needs to be reiterated. And
21
    that's my request.
22
                MR. SALVATO: I think we all join in that,
23
```

Your Honor. I think it was over the line for

Ms. Martinez to say, at least they accepted

```
responsibility.THE
```

THE COURT: She was addressing the

3 cooperators --

4 MR. SALVATO: I understand.

5 THE COURT: -- not the defendant's on trial.

6 She was addressing the cooperators and the testimony.

MS. AUSTIN: Her words were, "At least he

8 came in here and took responsibility."

9 THE COURT: That's after the defense, all

the attacks, a mother killer and a murderer, which is

what he was. But, that's fine. I mean, I appreciate

you're making your record.

Anything more anyone else want to say? I'm

14 listening.

MR. ZIMMERMAN: I think what's improper is

the suggestion that -- that -- that since Duende pled

guilty, that the defendants here should have pled

guilty. At least he pled guilty, and they should have

19 pled guilty. And I think that is beyond the burden of

20 evidence. That's an improper suggestion -- that's an

21 additional improper suggestion that was made.

MS. AMATO: And, Your Honor, as to

23 Mr. Chavez, in our closing argument, we had also brought

out many things, as well as many witnesses, that the

government could have brought or could have presented

```
1 testimony.
```

- And my concern is, although should they not
- specifically -- Ms. Martinez did not specifically
- address Mr. Chavez, he, too, could have brought in these
- 5 witnesses.
- The point is, it's out there now in front of
- 7 the jury, based on what she did say regarding Mr. Chick
- 8 and what he could have done, that the jury may, as they
- 9 deliberate, think, "Well, you know, that's right. Why
- didn't Mr. Aquino and Ms. Amato present such and such
- witness, or why didn't they present such and such
- testimony or evidence?"
- And so, there is this concern, it's out
- there. And so we would ask the Court to reinstruct.
- THE COURT: All right.
- Has everyone had a chance?
- MS. MARTELL: On behalf of Mr. Castillo, we
- join the arguments of counsel.
- THE COURT: All right. I'm prepared to say
- that you all join unless you opt out. No one opts out?
- 21 **Okay**.
- MS. MARTINEZ: Your Honor, to repeat the
- 23 arguments that we made in the middle of my rebuttal --
- 24 although I stand by those as well -- but just to address
- the additional objection that counsel has lodged about

- the statement at the end, specifically about Duende, I
- think that in context, it's very clear that was
- 3 responsive to the attacks on his credibility and the
- 4 attacks on his very violent actions, which he certainly
- 5 took.
- And "at least he took responsibility" was,
- at least he took responsibility for those actions, and
- 8 he told the truth about his actions. It was not a
- 9 comparison to the other defendants. I think in context,
- that's extraordinarily clear.
- Your Honor has instructed the jury
- repeatedly about what the Constitution holds, and that
- it does not require any defendant to testify. And, in
- fact, I said the same thing in my rebuttal.
- I think that in context it was very clear
- that I was not intending to, and I was not intending to,
- draw a comparison to the defendants. It was a response
- to very specific arguments made by defense counsel about
- the cooperators in general, and about one cooperator in
- 20 particular.
- THE COURT: The record should reflect that a
- 22 motion for mistrial has been made based upon the
- 23 arguments made by government counsel.
- This has been a very long trial. Thousands
- and thousands of words were recorded by my court

- reporter. And I believe that I have at least 14 lawyers
- in front of me who objected to a brief statement made by
- ₃ the prosecutor.
- That is giving entirely too much power to
- 5 the government, that I'm sure that this jury is not any
- 6 more focused on Ms. Martinez's comments, trying to
- 7 respond to attacks made by every single defense counsel
- 8 on the cooperators -- as you should, as you were
- 9 expected to do -- and somehow elevate that to some level
- of jury instruction.
- I assure you, government counsel does not
- have such power. I assure you, you do not have such
- power. The instructions, and I have given each juror
- their own copy -- let me see how many pages this is.
- MR. TOBLER: 100, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Only me. 109 pages of
- instructions.
- I do not think that what has occurred, in my
- view -- the context of the statement had to do with the
- 20 attacks on Jose Del Cid, who is a multiple murderer, who
- fired a gun at his mother, and who has done other, many
- very vicious acts to other individuals and as a gang
- 23 member, who testified at this trial -- and so did all
- the others, as far as I'm concerned, in terms of being
- cooperators or gang members.

```
The defense has legitimately, as they
1
    should, attacked the credibility and motivation of these
2
    witnesses. And the government certainly had an
3
    opportunity to respond.
4
                So the motion for mistrial will be denied.
5
                I'm going to send the jury out. You all
6
    stay in place. I'm going to send the jury out.
7
8
    you.
                 (Thereupon, the side-bar conference was
9
    concluded.)
10
                THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, you've
11
    heard all the evidence you're going to hear in
12
    connection with this case. Now you've heard the
13
    instructions of the Court and the arguments of counsel.
14
    It is now your duty to deliberate and arrive at a
15
    unanimous verdict.
16
                My suggestion about your first order of
17
    business ought to be the election of your foreperson.
18
    The foreperson will preside over your deliberations and
19
    ensure that each juror has an opportunity to speak, and
20
    each juror's views are fully considered.
21
                If, during the course of your deliberations,
22
    someone has to step out the use to facilities, then stop
23
    your deliberations until everybody is in the room.
                                                          Do
24
    not deliberate at lunch or any place outside the jury
25
```

```
deliberation room.
1
                Give us a little bit of time, and we will
2
    give you back the written instructions, and soon you
3
    will have all the exhibits that have been admitted into
4
    evidence for your consideration.
5
                There are certain exhibits you won't get,
6
    like drugs and things like that. We don't send those
7
    back.
           If you want to see them, you will have to ask for
8
    them.
                So what we ask you to do now is retire and
10
    return a unanimous verdict. Thank you.
11
                 (Jury excused to deliberate.)
12
                THE COURT: Be seated.
13
                All right, Counsel, two instructions.
14
                The first is to each of you, review the
15
    exhibits that have been admitted into evidence to make
16
    sure that what's placed in the cart to go back to the
17
    jury room is what was admitted.
18
                It's very important that you do so
19
    meticulously. You may not know this story, but I've had
20
    a capital case here where ultimately, during
21
    deliberations, a document which I excluded from evidence
22
```

went back, and it created great havoc in the court.

so, I expect you as officers of the Court to do that

I don't want to have that happen here. And

23

24

```
review and sign my certification that you've done that.
1
                Let me also say that this is a very complex
2
    case, probably an epic and historic case for this Court,
3
    and so I realize that a lot of time and effort was
4
    devoted to it by each of you to prepare this case as
5
    officers of the court.
6
                I have presided over many trials, and this
7
    one was one that will stand out for me, and hopefully it
8
    will stand out for you, where we tried to deliver
    fairness to everyone.
10
                We're in recess now. Thank you.
11
                 (Court recessed at 3:25 p.m. and reconvened
12
                at 5:05 p.m.)
13
                THE COURT: Are you ready to bring the jury
14
    out?
15
                 (Counsel indicating.)
16
                THE COURT: You can bring the jury out,
17
    Mr. Toliver. Thank you.
18
                 (Jury present.)
19
                THE COURT: You may be seated.
20
                All right, ladies and gentlemen, I've
21
    received your schedule, and your schedule for
22
    deliberation is fine.
23
                I just want to remind you, as I do each day
24
    at the end of the day, that you do not discuss the case
25
```

1

with anyone nor permit the case to be discussed in your

```
presence.
2
                Don't do any research on the case on the
3
    Internet or anything like that, dictionaries, nothing
4
    like that.
                Don't do any posting on social media.
5
                There may be some media reports, so please
6
    do not watch any media reports or don't read anything in
7
    the paper about it or on the Internet. And don't visit
8
    any of the locations mentioned during the trial.
                Leave your notes in the jury deliberation
10
    room.
11
                I understand you all will resume tomorrow at
12
    10:00 o'clock. And Mr. Toliver will tell you which jury
13
    room to report to directly.
14
                You will begin your deliberations as soon as
15
    all the jurors are in the room. We're not going to come
16
    back to court until the end of the day. Okay?
17
                Thank you.
18
                 (Jury out.)
19
                THE COURT: We're in recess.
20
                 (Proceedings concluded at 5:08 p.m.)
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	
2	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
3	
4	I, Renecia Wilson, an official court
5	reporter for the United States District Court of
6	Virginia, Alexandria Division, do hereby certify that I
7	reported by machine shorthand, in my official capacity,
8	the proceedings had upon the jury trial in the case of
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v. JOSE LOPEZ TORRES, et al.
10	I further certify that I was authorized and
11	did report by stenotype the proceedings in said jury
12	trial, and that the foregoing pages, numbered 1 to 179,
13	inclusive, constitute the official transcript of said
14	proceedings as taken from my shorthand notes.
15	
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereto
17	subscribed my name this <u>29th</u> day of <u>November</u> , 2016.
18	
19	/S/ Renecia Wilson RMR CRR
20	Renecia Wilson, RMR, CRR Official Court Reporter
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	